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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Good morning, everybody. My name is Bradley Halloran. I'm the Hearing Officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board assigned to this matter. It's Johns Manville, Complainant, versus IDOT, Respondent, PCB 14-3. This is a continuation of a hearing from yesterday, October 26, 2020. Today is October 27, 2020, approximately 9:10 a.m.

I believe Ms. Brice from JM is directing her expert, Mr. Dorgan. You may proceed, Ms. Brice. MS. BRICE: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. DOUGLAS G. DORGAN JR., called as a witness herein, having been previously duly sworn and having testified, was examined and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Mr. Dorgan, you understand that you're still under oath; correct?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. We stopped yesterday, and we were talking about one of your task buckets dewatering; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And we went through the whole Site 3 dewatering task buckets, and now we're going to go through the Site 6 dewatering task buckets. And if you turn to Exhibit 204-27, you explained that dewatering was needed both on the north side and the south side of Site 6 or the creation of clean corridors. And you cited Mr. Peterson told you that the level of effort was relatively the same for both the work on the north and the south side of Site 6, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so did you include the cost associated with the north side of Site 6 in your IDOT, Illinois Department of Transportation, dewatering attributions?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And why is that?
A. Because the work on the north side of Site 6 would have been outside of an IDOT, and we'd be talking --
Q. Okay. Let's turn to the south side of Site 6 now. Was dewatering needed east of 9S?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. And how do you know this?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
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Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/13/2020
October 27, 2020

Page 6
A. Based upon information that was provided by Mr. Peterson.
Q. And in that, Site 6 dewatering was $\$ 159,250$; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So how did you arrive at this IDOT attribution for Site 6 dewatering of $\$ 79,625$ ?
A. Simply took 50 percent of the total cost for the dewatering on Site 6 and attributed that to IDOT.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you please speak up, Mr. Dorgan. Thank you.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Objection, hearsay. He's relying on information, evidence from Mr. Peterson, to come up with this calculation, which is beyond the cost figures that was in his report. He's relying upon information, testimony and evidence from Mr. Peterson about how far offsite six dewatering went, and that's hearsay, so we object as hearsay.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, we could bring Mr. Peterson back. Ms. Brice?

MS. BRICE: Number one, this is in his reports, and he says that he was talking to

$$
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Mr. Peterson. Mr. Peterson is someone he can rely upon because that's the type of person that is -- is information from the guy who was in charge of the construction project, type of information you would reasonably rely upon as an expert.

THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, you know, and pursuant to the Board's procedural rules, 106 something, about a reasonable and prudent person to rely on. Overruled. You may continue. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I got lost. You were -- how did you arrive at this \$79,625 attribution?
A. It's half of the total cost of $\$ 159,250$.
Q. Okay. And the following paragraph --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm also going to object
this goes beyond his expert report.
MS. BRICE: It's right there in his expert report on 204 --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: How --
MS. BRICE: -- 27.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: How he determined the allocation is beyond the scope of the report.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
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$$

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, could you please restate your objection. Please stand up. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. Objection. His testimony goes beyond his expert report. He states the allocation, but he doesn't state the basis for it in his expert report. So I'm objecting on the basis of his testimony that provides the basis for his allocation is beyond his expert report and should not be allowed in this hearing.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: You can read it. It's straight out of the expert report. I'll read it if you want me to.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Please do.
MS. BRICE: The dewatering --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
MS. BRICE: Excuse me?
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Go ahead, please do.

MS. BRICE: The dewatering work associated with the south side of six, concentrated between 1 S to approximately 9 S , the excavation was deeper within this area resulting in the need to dewater. East of 9 S dewatering was not needed. Because of

Site 6, IDOT area widely caused this work. I attributed these costs to IDOT. I, therefore, attributed to IDOT 50 percent, a gain of total costs for the Campanella dewatering services associated with the south side of six. And up above that, he talks about, based upon the conversation with Mr. Peterson, I determine that the level of acclivities to activities would be relatively the same for the work on the north side of six as for the work on the south side of Site 6 .

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I withdraw my objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. You may proceed.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. If we can go to the second paragraph there under Site 6, you say DMP. Who is DMP?
A. That would be Mr. Peterson's company.
Q. Okay. He incurred $\$ 1,337$ associated with discharge fees from the North Shore Water Reclamation District. Did you include those in your attributions to IDOT?
A. I did not since they were attributable to costs for the north side work on Site 6 .

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
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Q. Okay. I'm going to talk about three and six again. Yesterday, we went through calculations that you did for Site 3 and 6 for other task buckets. I want to take a step back for a second. And tell me, why have you calculated the Site 3 -the combined Site 3 plus 6 task buckets in this way?
A. In the absence of better information about where specifically to assign those combined Site 3 and Site 6 tasks, I thought it was fair and reasonable to look at the allocations for Site 3 and Site 6 individually, and I simply added the totals for Site 3 and Site 6, added the total attribution to IDOT for Site 3 and Site 6 and then divided one by the other to come up with a percentage that I then applied to the combined Site 3 and Site 6 costs.
Q. And the percentage is different for each of these task buckets, and why is that?
A. It can be different based upon the information that was provided relative to the individual work efforts. In many instances they're the same, but in some instances they vary.
Q. Right. But the allocations are different, correct, so that changes your numbers
that they go into the calculation to get the percentage; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And Mr. -- again, Mr. Gobelman did this exact same type of method of calculation, is it not true?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. So Site 3 plus 6 costs, the combined costs of 204-27, what kind of costs were these?
A. These were costs that were incurred both by Campanella under their $\mathrm{T} \& \mathrm{M}$ services and also certain costs that were incurred by DMP, Mr. Peterson's company.
Q. Okay. And I'm just -- I'm not going to make you walk through everything at this time. But on Exhibit 204-109, which we looked at exhibits like this yesterday, if you could please turn to that. You have here again at the bottom of the exhibit under dewatering, you have the Site 3 plus 6 dewatering calculation essentially. Can you just walk us through that?
A. Yes. So the total dewatering calculation for Site 3 and 6 was $\$ 419,671$. The attribution for

$$
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IDOT for both Site 3 and Site 6 totaled $\$ 297,428$.
And when you divide one by the other, that's
70.9 percent. I applied that to the Site 3 and 6 combined costs which are reflected in the column above. And when you do that, you ended up with an IDOT attribution for Site 3 and 6 combined costs of $\$ 27,775$. And, therefore, the dewatering IDOT total, which appears at the very bottom of that calculation, comes out to $\$ 325,203$, which is simply the sum of IDOT's attribution for Site 3, Site 6 and combined Site 3 and Site 6.
Q. Thank you. I'm now going to turn to the filling and capping attribution. If you can turn to 204-28, please. You discuss filling and capping work. What filling or capping work was done on Site 3?
A. There was a cap that was installed across Site 3.
Q. Okay. And what did it cover?
A. It covered all of Site 3 .
Q. And you say your method here was to consider what drove the need for cap. What do you mean by that?
A. Again, I looked at the conditions on

Site 3. I looked at what elements of the work that had to be done, which included the City of Waukegan waterline, the North Shore Gas clean corridor, the clean corridor for both, the removal and relocation of the AT\&T lines and then the northeast excavation. And those were four of the major work elements on Site 3. The remaining -- the fifth one was the Nicor Gas line. Earlier I had attributed four of those five to IDOT. And I applied that same ratio to the filling and capping costs for Site 3.
Q. Okay. So you came up with 80 percent of those costs to IDOT, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that came to a total of $\$ 341,003$, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Site 6 filling and capping, what work was done on Site 6 with respect to filling or capping?
A. Basically it was the placement of the vegetative layer that was needed, no vegetative growth on the -- both the north and south side of Site 6.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice, what

[^0]page are we on? I'm sorry.
MS. BRICE: We are on 204-29.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. The total costs here were $\$ 310,353$, is that right?
A. I'm showing $\$ 341,003$.
Q. Oh, I'm sorry, I probably have it wrong.

Can you just explain to me how you came up with this Site 6 attribution for filling on Site 6?
A. Basically I used a similar process that I used for the utility and ACM excavation work that was done. I considered what utilities were present on both the north and south side of Site 6, and there were a total of eight, four of which were on the south side of Site 6 , so I simply took 50 percent of the total cost and made that attribution to IDOT.
Q. Okay. And, again, this is the same type of method you used with the ACM utility excavation, is that what you said?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And the total was $\$ 155,177 ?$
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And that is similarly again on the board over here at 204-36?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. I'm now going to -- if you can turn -- stay on this, and we're going to talk about this ramp issue for a moment. You discussed the work -- what work was done with respect to -- well, first of all, let's go back to --

MS. BRICE: Drew, if you wouldn't mind pulling up 204-38. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Mr. Dorgan, can you identify for us what your understanding is of where the ramp is located?
A. Yeah. It's basically what's shaded in green in the upper left corner of Site 3.
Q. And how far does it go to the east?
A. Roughly to sample location 4 S .
Q. And you said that AECOM did sampling work here?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Why did AECOM do sampling work here?
A. My understanding was that they recognized

[^1]a certain degree of impracticality to try and place the cap on an embankment because of the sloped feature of the site, and so the compromise that was reached with U.S. EPA was that if AECOM could do additional sampling to demonstrate that there was an additional asbestos, that they could then not put the cap in.
Q. And I believe Dr. Ebihara testified that they did find asbestos during that sampling, is that your recollection?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. And was this testing done before the first hearing in this case or after?
A. I believe it was after.
Q. And then how did you reach your attribution for the ramp with respect to IDOT?
A. Basically since all of the ramp was within parcel 0393, I attributed all of the cost, 100 percent of the cost, to JM -- or excuse me, to IDOT.
Q. And that's again over here on 204-36, correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. Now I'm going to move into what we
call -- we had a demonstrative here that we agreed to with IDOT. This is again slightly complicated. This is -- this deals with the task buckets for both oversight and support services. Okay. Can you explain to me -- there's this grouping of task buckets, and then there's this grouping of task buckets that we just discussed. Can you explain the difference between these two different types -categories of task buckets?
A. I think in the case of the task buckets, Mr. Peterson and Dr. Ebihara were able to look at the incurred expenses and attribute them to one of those major work elements. In the case of the general site, site preparation, there were a number of tasks that were implemented that were less easily identifiable to a specific task bucket and ended up being more generally performed for all of the activities that were taking place on both Site 3 and Site 6.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the first grouping that we had just gone through is more sort of construction related to one specific task, such as Nicor Gas, North Shore Gas; is that right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
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Q. And these tend to relate more to overall
site issues?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you explain for me what this demonstrative is showing? And I'll bring it closer to you for the moment.
A. I think what we're looking at here is
just a -- kind of a matrix that shows which elements of the work had activities on Site 3 or Site 6 across on the top, so this is just a summary of what we largely already covered. And then you'll see below are the attributions that Mr. Gobelman made based upon his evaluation of the IDOT areas of liability. And what's kind of complicated about this is that each of the construction costs and the total costs versus the total IDOT contribution ends up having an influence on how each of these general site prep allocations were made for each of the various work elements.
Q. Okay. Let me just dig into that a little bit for one second, and then we'll go through each of these. So let's just take, for example, Site 3 prep work. We have these Xs down below Site 3 prep work that, for example, there's an $X$ under Nicor,

$$
\begin{gathered}
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Waukegan waterline, AT\&T, North Shore Gas, northeast excavation, dewatering ramp and filling and capping. Why are there Xs there?
A. Because there are work done on each one of those task buckets for Site 3.
Q. Right. But were these -- were your calculations dependent upon what was found in each one of these task buckets?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Okay. And Mr. Gobelman did this methodology the same way, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So if you or Mr. Gobelman is wrong about a task bucket attribution, it will impact, for example, let's say -- let's take North Shore Gas, it would impact the number for Site 3 prep, Site 6 prep, Site 3 and 6 prep -- actually, all of these, because that's important for all of these?
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that what that's showing?
A. That would then translate into the allocation for the Site 3 prep work.
Q. Okay. So you explain here on 204-29, the very bottom, that the activities encompass a range

[^2]of services that relate to general limitation to work on Site 3 and 6 . What are some examples?
A. General project management both by Dr. Ebihara and by Mr. Peterson, and then support to and interface with the regulatory authorities throughout the project. And then there was oversight services that were performed during the construction work itself. And then also included are certain future operations and maintenance expenses that are anticipated. Those have been projected, so those are costs to still be incurred. And then, of course, there was a number of others referenced here, including surveying, storm water control installation and maintenance of other site general activities.
Q. Okay. There are some examples -- sorry, turn to 204-31, this table here that breaks down some of this work, can you generally explain this table?
A. Yeah. This is similar to what we've seen previously, which is just a breakdown and a grouping of the expenses by who was incurring them; with the professional engineering largely being the AECOM and predecessor time and energy, professional completion
costs are those that are still anticipated. O\&M is the projection of the future operation and maintenance of the cap on Site 3. And then you have Campanella Construction base bid items, and then where they perform $T \& M$ services. Construction management support that was provided by EMP. And then there's a few construction related miscellaneous items that are included at the bottom.
Q. Okay. Other than the very last row, IDOT attribution, these are all -- these all are numbers that are for what was incurred by JM, right, they're not attribution there?
A. That's correct.
Q. And does Mr. Gobelman agree with this table with the exception of obviously the IDOT attribution at the bottom?
A. Yes, that's my understanding.
Q. Okay. So can you explain to me here, the first paragraph on 204-31, you come up with this percentage of 74.2 to use for your site preparation, professional service, engineering services, attribution for the general site prep -I'm just going to say it for short -- can you explain how you did this?

[^3]A. So what $I$ did in the absence of -basically what $I$ did is $I$ took a look at this -- all of these activities were done in support of all of the other work that had already been done at --
Q. If you don't mind for one --
A. Sure.
Q. -- second, I'm going to hand you

Exhibit 204-110 because it contains some of the calculations on here, so that you can use that to the extent it is helpful.
A. So what $I$ did is $I$ took the construction costs, all of the costs that we've already discussed that were related specifically to construction work that was unperformed at the site, and I added up all of the Site 3 construction costs, added up the --
Q. For these task buckets?
A. For those task buckets.
Q. Under Site 3 prep?
A. That's correct.
Q. So not all of the costs, just the costs for these task buckets?
A. That related to Site 3 .
Q. Okay.
A. If it did not relate to Site 3, it wasn't
added. And so that calculation is shown at the bottom of this column under general site site preparation, so I carried each of the individual task buckets over and laid them out, and then I calculated how much of that Site 3 construction work I had attributed to IDOT. So an example here is at the bottom of the table, it shows $\$ 1,476,454$ are full construction costs for the work that is referenced in this column, and then the IDOT attribution came out to $\$ 1,094,891$. That represents 74.2 percent of the total costs that were attributed to IDOT. And I then applied that 74.2 to each of the Site 3 general site site preparation costs.
Q. Okay. And we'll get to that. So let me just recap to make sure we all understand what you did. For each of these task buckets with an $X$, you looked at what was the overall Site 3 prep cost number, which are in your tables and explained here, it's on your chart here, it says 355,534 ; correct?
A. I'm sorry, which chart?
Q. On 204-31.
A. Yes, that would be the Site 3 professional engineering.
Q. That's the professional engineering. But
then you've added it all up -- well, no, that's not what -- so you took -- okay. So you took all of these with the Xs, and you added up what the costs incurred were for all of these task buckets; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you called them your construction services task buckets in --
A. Correct.
Q. -- your report, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then you added up how you allocated to each one of these task buckets with an $x$, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then you divided that?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then that's how you got your
74.2 percent?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then you applied that to the number that related to the professional engineering service here, the $\$ 355,534$; correct?
A. In all but one instance.

[^4]Q. Right. But I'm just sticking with number one with --
A. Yes.
Q. -- professional engineering.

And what did you come out with? What was your attribution?
A. $\$ 263,806$.
Q. Okay. So then we have site preparation -- this is the second paragraph -professional engineering services. Oh, I can't -did you do the same thing with respect to that category and using the 74.2 percent?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was your attribution?
A. $\$ 52,401$.
Q. Okay. Then let's go down -- we're going to skip this next paragraph and come back to it.

So number four, the fourth paragraph, again we're seeing this 74.2 percent, and this is Campanella base bid site preparation work on Site 3. What did you do here?
A. Same methodology. I applied the 74.2 percent for the total cost of $\$ 138,310$, coming up with an IDOT attribution of $\$ 102,626$.

[^5]Q. Okay. And let's go down to the next paragraph, the certain miscellaneous construction costs relating to Site 3 that are shown on Table 5 of Exhibit C, and I see once again the 74.2 percent. And what did you -- what did you do here?
A. Again, $I$ took the total costs for this particular work element of $\$ 57,362$, and I applied the 74.2 percent factor and came up with an IDOT attribution of $\$ 42,563$.
Q. Okay. Let's back up to the paragraph that we skipped on 204-32, and it's the first full paragraph, and it starts with AECOM projects. So this paragraph deals with O\&M, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And just for the record, to remind everybody, we talked about it yesterday, but what is O\&M?
A. Operations and maintenance.
Q. And what O\&M was required here?
A. They were required to provide O\&M services for the vegetative cap on Site 3 .
Q. Okay. And so how did you come up with your attribution with respect to the O\&M for Site 3?
A. Similar to the filling and capping
attribution that I had made. I applied the same factor, which was of the work elements, there were five driving the need for the cap on Site 3 . Earlier I had attributed four of those five to IDOT and 80 percent -- I used the same application of 80 percent for these general site prep costs for Site 3.
Q. Okay. And what did you apply that to, and what number did you get?
A. The total costs were $\$ 310,903$, and the 80 percent factor came up with an IDOT attribution of $\$ 248,722$.
Q. Let's turn to Site 6 now on 204-32. And once again we're still in this -- we're now at this Site 6 prep, right? We're now at this column, the second column on this exhibit, which we're going to give a number to at some point, but it's the demonstrative called task buckets inputs by both experts to determine oversight and support services task bucket attributions; right? So now we're over here on row number two, correct?
A. That's right. Column two.
Q. Okay. Yes, column two, sorry. Did you use the same methodology that you used for Site 3,
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being that you divided the Site 6 construction services costs you attributed to IDOT by the total Site 6 construction services costs to get a percentage and then apply that percentage to the various cost categories?
A. That's correct.
Q. On 204-110, which is in front of you, do you explain this calculation here?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And can you walk us through that calculation, because I believe we have a 37.9 percent number for the Site 6 that appears to be applied to every one of the Site 6 categories; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm sorry, where are you, 204-10?

MS. BRICE: 204-32.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 32, okay.
MS. BRICE: And 33.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. So, once again, we said that you have the same percentage in the Site 6 site prep

[^7]costs, and I assume -- just to make sure we're right, you know, you use -- these are the task buckets you used to come up with that calculation, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And why are there so many more in Site 3 than there are in Site 6?
A. Because there are less of the task buckets that applied to the work that was done on Site 6.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. From the analysis that $I$ did in each of the task buckets and the division of the work between Site 3 and Site 6.
Q. Okay. So then --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You're dropping your voice again, Mr. Dorgan.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Try to keep it up. Thank you, sir. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. So can you please explain for me how you arrived at that 37.9 percent that you applied to these one, two, three, four different cost elements

$$
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## of the Site 6 prep work?

A. So I took the previously identified construction costs for Site 6 and put the total number and added that up, and that adds to the $\$ 1,232,059$. And then $I$ took my amounts for those same construction elements that I had attributed to IDOT, and that totaled to $\$ 466,915$. And the one divided by the other comes up with the 37.9 percent of the total costs that were incurred and then attributed to IDOT.
Q. Okay. So you take that 37.9 percent, and you multiply it by various numbers? So for site prep, professional engineering services for Site 6, which is on the first paragraph of 204-33, what was your calculation?
A. I took the total costs of $\$ 53,250$ and calculated the 37.9 percent, which came out to $\$ 20,182$ and that became the attribution.
Q. Okay. The same thing for the next paragraph, which relates to Campanella base bid, site preparation work for Site 6.
A. Same methodology. I took the total costs of $\$ 95,560$ and applied the 37.9 percent factor and arrived at a total IDOT attribution of $\$ 36,217$.
Q. Okay. Next one, Campanella T\&M construction services, what did you do there?
A. Same methodology. The total of the JM cost was $\$ 37,410$, applied the same 37.9 percent factor, arriving at an IDOT attribution of $\$ 14,178$.
Q. Okay. And then, finally, the construction miscellaneous for Site 6?
A. IDOT -- or, excuse me, JM incurred a total of $\$ 102,082$ in total costs, and applying that 37.9 percent factor, came up with an IDOT attribution of $\$ 38,689$.
Q. Okay. And then I think we have one more complicated calculation, and then I think we're done with the complication. So 204-34, you have a Site 3 plus 6 prep, which is, I believe, on here the third column with the Xs; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so the inputs for that were AT\&T, North Shore Gas, dewatering and filling and capping; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And why are these the ones that both you and Mr. Gobelman used to come up with this combined 3 plus 6 site prep work number?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
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$$
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A. Those were the relevant work elements that led to this Site 3 and 6 combined allocation.
Q. So can you explain to me how you determined IDOT's attribution for these costs?
A. Yes. I again added the individual costs for the Site 3 and 6 -- when there were Site 3 and 6 costs, I added those up for each of those work elements to come up with a total, and that total was \$74,300. And just look here real quick.
Q. I think you might have -- I think it might be helpful to look at --
A. Yeah, so what I have here --
Q. What exhibit are you looking at?
A. I'm looking at Exhibit 204-11.
Q. Okay. And where are you looking on that exhibit?
A. I'm looking at the very bottom right at the general site site preparation tabulation.
Q. And is that underneath the box?
A. It's underneath the main table.
Q. Okay.
A. So when you add up all of the previously identified construction costs that were attributed to Site 3 and 6 combined, that added up to $\$ 548,602$.
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The IDOT attribution for those same elements totaled to $\$ 346,307$. And so the IDOT attribution represents 63.1 percent of the total construction costs. Or this one item that appears on the table for the Site 3 and 6 general site site preparation related to construction services provided by DMP that totals $\$ 74,300$, I used that factor of 63.1 to make the allocation for $\operatorname{IDOT}$ for this particular work element under general site site preparation. And that carries -- it's the only item in the column, so it carries directly to the bottom of the column.
Q. Thank you. So health and safety, we're still on 204-34, what costs are contained within this health and safety task bucket of $\$ 77,000$ ?
A. This is mainly related to the cost to have the onsite health and safety officer and expenses related to their services.
Q. And that's an example of things that would apply to both Site 3 and 6?
A. That's correct.
Q. You seem to have used the same
63.1 percent calculation that you just discussed for this health and safety work. Why is that?
A. Again, that was -- the Site 3 and 6

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 11/13/2020
October 27, 2020
health and safety costs were aggregate costs for all of the work done at the site. I took that same construction and IDOT related attributions that I just reviewed, which came up with the 63.1 percent allocation, I applied that same 63.1 percent to those health and safety Site 3 and Site 6 costs and carried that down to the bottom.
Q. Okay. And it would make sense, right, because the health and safety task buckets that you used on this chart are the same at the Site 3 and 6 task buckets?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now we're going to talk strictly about EPA oversight costs on 204-34. What are EPA oversight costs?
A. This is the cost that EPA charged Johns Manville for all of their work related to Site 3 and 6.
Q. And what do you mean? What kind of work did the U.S. EPA do?
A. This would have been their oversight, review of the documentation, the approval process, the meetings that occurred over the course of the project. These would have been their direct costs.
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[^9]this chart, it's the same Xs --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- right?

So that's why you end up with the
same percentage because you're using the same task buckets?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so then you applied that 74.2 percent, and what happened? What numbers did you --
A. IDOT's attribution for that, therefore, became $\$ 173,418$.
Q. Okay. Can you explain what you did here on the Site 6 oversight costs?
A. Same process. I looked back at the calculation of the total construction costs for all of Site 6 that I applied to the general site and site preparation, which was a factor of 37.9 percent, and I carried that into how to allocate the EPA oversight costs for Site 6, which total oversight costs were $\$ 125,675$, and IDOT's attribution at 37.9 percent was $\$ 47,631$.
Q. Okay. And the Site 6 oversight numbers, the $X s$ that we have on this demonstrative are the
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same $X$ s in the various construction task buckets under Site 6 prep; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So finally to legal costs, who is Don Manikas?
A. He's an attorney that was representing Johns Manville.
Q. And what did he do?
A. My understanding, he was mainly involved in helping the project team negotiate lease agreements and access agreements with the utilities as part of the utility abandonment relocation.
Q. Did he -- did any of his invoices have to deal with litigation in this case?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. So his work was all to support the

```
remediation effort --
```

A. That's correct.
Q. -- from your understanding?
A. That's correct.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. Based upon the review that I did of his individual invoices and the tabulation of those invoices.
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utility work, I went back and looked at the allocation for the actual utility services that were provided as part of the construction effort and applied that factor to the total of Manikas cost items.
Q. And what was that factor, and what was your conclusion?
A. The factor was 47.5 percent, which resulted in an allocation or an attribution to IDOT of $\$ 34,124$.
Q. Okay. You say here: The total costs I attributed for legal support services for three-six and three-six is $\$ 34,124$. Why did you say it that way?
A. Those were the costs when they're combined for Site 3 and 6. They're not specifically separated.
Q. If you turn the page, 204-36, this is your attribution summary, which we have on the board here, and I've been referring back to; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. 204-36. And if you can turn to in your report Exhibit C, 204-71. Is Exhibit C the information that Mr. Peterson gave to you that he
\[
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discussed previously in this hearing?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And Exhibit B, which starts at 204-49, I believe -- I'm sorry, that's incorrect. 204-47. What is this Exhibit B?
A. 204-47 is the cover letter that was provided -- the information on the costs that Dr. Ebihara had summarized. This is the cover letter followed by his summary table and the supporting document that supported it.
Q. Okay. And then Exhibit E, 204-94 through 106, what is this?
A. These are the records of payments that had been made by Johns Manville for the work that had been undertaken on Site 3 and Site 6.
Q. All right. Just a few more questions. We discussed earlier your figures on 204-38, which has been Dorgan figure one through 41. Do you believe these to be accurate to a reasonable degree of professional certainty?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And have you reached your opinions in this matter to a reasonable degree of professional certainty?
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A. Yes, I have.
Q. Okay. A couple more things. If you can turn to Exhibit 202 in your report -- I mean in your binder, it's near the end. It's almost the -- it's the second to last document.

MS. BRICE: And, Drew, if you can bring that up, please, 202. And if you could blow that up a bit, I think that will be helpful.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. Mr. Dorgan, what is this document?
A. This is a figure that was produced by Mr. Gobelman, I believe, as part of the earlier hearing.
Q. Okay. And previously, if you notice here on 1S through 8S, underneath it, there are color markings that have to do with -- I believe, tell me if I'm wrong -- types of asbestos found in the borings 15 through 8 S , is that accurate?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And what type of materials were found in 1s through 4S?
A. According to the legend, there was fibrous paper material, fiber sludge, transite, and it appears brake shoes in one or two of the borings.
Q. Okay. And what are the materials that were found in 5S through 8S?
A. Similar. Transite, brake shoes, roofing paper material. Looks like that's it.
Q. Okay. And is this the same type of material generally that Mr . Peterson discussed yesterday?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. One last question. 214, if you could turn to 214 in your binder. It's near the back. Mr. Peterson testified about a number of photos in this grouping, I think 204-14, 15, 17, 19, and I think those were the ones that he spoke about. I could be wrong about that, but the record will reflect what he spoke about. In general, what are we looking at here?
A. You're basically looking at the excavation that was done on the right-of-way of Greenwood Road. And what you see in each of the pictures is the vertical wall that was constructed after they had completed the excavation of materials.
Q. Okay. So this is after materials have been removed?


[^11]reporter, Jen, if you could just give me a thumb's up. Is everything working out okay? Are you able to hear? Okay. Perfect.

And, secondly, I was remiss. We have -on WebEx, we have Chair Currie. And then present we have Member Van Wie present. And if there's a connection issue, which $I$ probably would have found out by now, but if there is, you can call Marie Tipsord at 312-814-4925, and she'll probably be able to help you out. Thank you.

Ms. O'Laughlin, you ready? You want to take a break, or do you want to do cross?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Can we take just a little break? I want to cross him.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Ten minutes.
(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Ms. O'Laughlin, you can cross Mr. Dorgan. Thank you.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: So I'd like to just renew our objections on Mr. Dorgan's qualifications as an expert. We object to his qualifications as an expert. And we also -- our continuing objection to
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Mr. Dorgan's outside of --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, the last part --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: And then our continuing objection including areas outside of the Board's order.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Correct. And Site $6,5 S$ to $8 S ?$

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Standing objection there, the berth objection, no, based on my past rulings as far as expert --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Right. And also areas outside the board's 0393, that standing objection.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: On, yeah, 0393, correct. Thank you. That is a standing objection. Yes.

MS. BRICE: And I will state for the record there was a motion in limine $I$ filed on this in which you are --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We are acting according with your motion in limine on this because, you know --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Correct.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- the Board -- the Board
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will decide that.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, correct.
Thank you so much.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. Good morning still.
A. Good morning.
Q. Okay. So let's go to Exhibit 202. We just looked at that.
A. Is that in a binder that's here?
Q. I'm not sure. Whatever you used for Exhibit 202.

MS. BRICE: Is your witness binder from us there?

THE WITNESS: No.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is it Mr. Dorgan's binder 202?

MS. BRICE: Yeah, it's from ours. It's in our binder. It's just easier to get to, I guess. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So, Mr. Dorgan, on direct, you testified about Exhibit 202; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And I just wanted to ask you: What are
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these red circles on 202 throughout, just in general, what do they depict?
A. Locations where asbestos was detected.
Q. And this was from the first hearing, first group of hearing; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to Site 3 that is below south of parcel 0393.
A. Yes.
Q. And you can see in there, there's red dots throughout that area; is that correct?
A. There are red dots.
Q. And what does the red dots depict?
A. Asbestos that was detected.
Q. Okay.
A. Red circles, excuse me, we're speaking of the same thing.
Q. Sure, red circles, that's a better way to describe it.

And if you could turn to your report, Exhibit 204-39.
A. Yes.
Q. And what is that?
A. That is a figure that represents Site 3
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and Site 6 and various features.
Q. And on this 204-39, there's some orange circles; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And what are those orange circles?
A. Those are depicting those locations where visual ACM was observed.
Q. Okay. And comparing Exhibit 204-39 versus Exhibit 202, there's a lot of asbestos on Exhibit 202 that is not depicted on your -- in your one 204-39, isn't that correct?
A. Figure 204-39 is only showing those locations where actual physical ACM was observed during the sampling, whereas $I$ believe what's being represented by Exhibit 202 is those locations where asbestos was detected.
Q. And your figure one neglected to include all the areas where asbestos was detected, isn't that correct?
A. I'm sorry, which figure?
Q. Your figure at 204-39, figure one, it neglected to include all the ACM that was detected on Site 3; isn't that correct, Mr. Dorgan?
A. No, that's not correct.
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attributed to IDOT's construction work.
Q. Throughout Site 3, isn't that -- isn't that your --
A. I don't recall if $I$ specifically referenced Site 3.
Q. Okay. And so sticking on Site 3 and the -- directing your attention to parcel 0393, the Board did not include borings on the western portion of 0393 in the December 2016 court order; isn't that correct, Mr. Dorgan?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And also those borings on the western side of 0393, those were clean borings; isn't that true?
A. Which borings are you specifically referring to?
Q. The borings that would have been on the west side of 0393 .
A. I'm still looking at Exhibit 204-39, and it's not showing on any borings on the western side of 0393.
Q. Okay. Why don't you look at Exhibit 202. Turning your attention to B3-36, B3-35, B3-26.
A. Yes.
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Q. And those borings do not show asbestos, is that correct?
A. According to this figure, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And those borings were also excluded in the Board orders, isn't that true?
A. That's correct.
Q. Let's stay with Exhibit 202 since we're here. So can you identify what the detour road that you were referring to -- detour road earlier in your testimony, is that depicted on Exhibit 202?
A. Yes. It's kind of in a purple shading that runs from the very southwest corner of the figure up to the northeast where it then intersects with Site 6.
Q. Okay. And so the detour road -- and I just want to make sure that I understand you there, the detour road is what matches up with stations 5 S to 8S; is that correct?
A. I don't believe -- you may be referring to the sample locations rather than the stationing.
Q. No, I'm not. So -- okay. First, Site 6, turning your attention to Site 6, you expanded the Board's area of liability to 5 S to 8 S , isn't that correct -- or you've attributed, excuse me, IDOT's
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## liability to 5 S through 8S; isn't that true?

A. That's correct.

MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: How so?
MS. BRICE: He has attributed -- well, it's
more to the first question. But he's attributed because of the causation with respect to 1 1S through 4S.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Pursuant to the Board order, he did expand on the $5 S$ to $8 S$.

MS. BRICE: He did talk about it that way, correct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He may answer. Your objection is noted on the transcript. You may continue.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. Yes, $I$ included $5 S$ to $8 S$ as being within my attributions as being part of IDOT's liability as it relates to the work that was done because of the conditions that were identified in those samples. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. And Detour Road A, does that match up roughly with the area locations identified as 5 S
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to 8 S ?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So I'd like to go over a little bit detour -- the work involved with Detour Road A. And we're doing this because they've opened up this area of testimony, and so now we have to address it again. So going to Detour Road A, 21A-23, you have the document? Are you there?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. And what is -- and this is -- what is Exhibit 21A?
A. It's the photocopy of one of the sheets out of IDOT's plan set for the Greenwood Avenue road construction project.
Q. Okay. And does this depict Detour Road A that we just discussed?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Okay. And then turning to 21A-26, and this is a document that you discussed in your direct testimony; is that true?
A. Yes, that's correct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry,
Ms. O'Laughlin, is this 21A?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 23 and then --

[^13]HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Oh, 23.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: And then 21A-26.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I'm sorry,
go ahead.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So these are the areas that would discuss the fill and the construction of Detour Road A, is that correct?
A. Detour Road A and Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. So Mr. Peterson, you said you relied on his information, you know, the photographs which show asbestos material, those are those photographs, is that -- is that right, you relied on his information and those photographs in your theory of liability, is that -- is that accurate?
A. I think that's correct.
Q. Okay. That area of -- that area of contamination, that went under Greenwood Avenue; isn't that correct?
A. I can't say based upon the information I've seen that it did.
Q. Okay. But from the pictures and everything there, it looked like it went under Greenwood Avenue, would you agree with that?
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[^14]the math that you created at 204-38?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Did you do anything to verify any of the GPS coordinates on that AECOM CAD file?
A. We looked at certain GPS information that was embedded within the CAD file relative to site features but certainly didn't independently validate the accuracy of the GPS coordinates themselves.
Q. Okay. Did you or did Dr. Ebihara lay out the utilities depicted in these?
A. These would have been laid out by Dr. Ebihara.
Q. So that CAD software actually laid out the utility, and that's depicted in your figure one?
A. The utilities would have been input into the CAD software based upon their knowledge of where the utilities were located.
Q. Okay. And did you input that information or did Dr. Ebihara or anybody under his commission?
A. It would have been Dr. Ebihara or one of his team members.
Q. Okay. And you talked earlier about the U.S. EPA action memorandum of the --
A. Enforcement action memorandum.
\[
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Q. Thank you. And the goal -- or one of the goals of the U.S. EPA remediation mandates was to create clean corridors for utilities, is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Okay. And I think we heard Dr. Ebihara testify that some of the utilities on Site 6 went east of, you know, the area that we're -- you know, this concrete area that we're looking at on all these maps, but Site 6 goes a long way east, right, and he talked about utilities that went to 28S? Does that sound consistent with his testimony? I'm not sure. The record will reflect whatever his testimony was.
A. That sounds generally correct. But I believe he spoke to the utilities on both the north side and the south side, and they did find at different intervals, at different locations, at different lengths.
Q. Okay. Let's discuss the City of Waukegan waterline, and we heard testimony that it wasn't where people had thought it was. It wasn't accurately depicted on earlier maps that were provided -- that were used in the first hearing

[^15]until actual work was done in 2016 in that area.
Can you help us figure out what is the change from the map depictions from the first hearing to this phase of this action? And I -- and I -- you know, we have -- I guess I would look to your 20 -- 204-38 and then that same Exhibit 202 --

Well, if you can recall it on your own,
and maybe I'll try to find another map or another one depicted from the first part of the hearing. But if you recall, if you're able to, can you discuss the change in location?
A. Yes. The location basically shifted to the north from where it was thought to have been in the earlier phases of the work, and it --

Mr. Peterson described the steps that they had to go through to try to do an actual locate on it once they began the work on the clean corridor.
Q. Do you know how far north it shifted?
A. I think he testified it was roughly 50 feet.
Q. 50 feet, okay. And both the depiction of the Waukegan waterline, it was on parcel 0393 in the first part of the hearing, and it's also on parcel 0393 in this part of the hearing on your map?

MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes prior testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You want to rephrase, Ms. O'Laughlin.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'll withdraw the question.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And I apologize for this. Exhibit 84, and I don't know where it would be. I don't know if it's in the Dorgan witness book or not, Exhibit 84.
A. Doesn't appear to be.
Q. It's in the binder that begins 67.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You said
Exhibit 84?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Correct.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. 84-1, are you there?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Okay. Do you know what this -- what is this document?
A. This was a cross section that we prepared as part of one of my reports in the earlier phase of this matter that depicted the vertical profile of where asbestos was located in certain of the borings
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that were done on Site 3 and Site 6.
Q. And if you could direct your attention to $5 S$ and 6 S on how Section $A-A$.
A. Yes.
Q. Is ACM material depicted in this?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And this was from the first part? This was prepared the first part of the hearing --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- or excuse me, the first hearing?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Sorry.

And if you could turn the page to Exhibit 84-2, now, cross section I-I, what is that?
A. That's a cross section through two sample locations that show a north-south cross section from 6S to, I believe, it's S346E.
Q. Okay. And so 6 S would be the south side of Site 6, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And what is ACM material in this sample?
A. Yes.
Q. And moving one figure to the left $H-H$, is
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ACM depicted in this sample?
A. Which of the three borings?
Q. I'm sorry, H-H.
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. Okay. Is ACM depicted in this sample? Was ACM found as a result of this sampling event?
A. ACM is depicted within the profile that's being shown including 5S.
Q. Okay. And what does that mean? That means ACM was found in this sample?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Okay. I'm done with that. And if we can go to your figure one, 204-38. And I'm not going to spend a lot of time going over all of the utility information and all the application of the percentages, sort of the basic ones that were applied, but I'm just going to ask you a few questions about some of the attributions.

So I'd first like to -- we talked about the waterline. Let's go to the waterline a little bit. Is that included in the IDOT area of liability specifically referenced by the Board by boring location?
A. Upon my interpretation of the Board's
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order, I would say yes, it is.
Q. Are the borings mentioned? Does that area cover the -- excuse me.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What exhibit are you on?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Exhibit 204-38.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. I'm there.
Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And what is this exhibit?
A. This is the site plan for Site 3 and Site 6.
Q. And who prepared it?
A. It was prepared by Weaver Consultants using AECOM's digital base map.
Q. And this is figure one of your expert report, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So your theory of liability includes the Waukegan waterline? I'm just trying to get to the bottom of it. Your theory of liability for IDOT includes the Waukegan waterline, is that right?
A. That's correct.
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Q. And that's because it falls within parcel

0393?
A. That's correct.
Q. It's outside the actual borings
referenced in the Board order, but under your theory, because it's in 0393, it should be included; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Moving along to AT\&T phone lines, how are the AT\&T phone lines -- yeah, how is AT\&T depicted on figure one?
A. It is shown with a -- basically a dashed line with small $T s$ in between the dashes in a beige kind of color.
Q. Okay. And so there's one on the bottom half, and there's one -- well, how many telephone lines are there?
A. On Site 3, there's three of them.
Q. Okay. And how did you determine liability?
A. I took the total costs that were related to the abandonment of the AT\&T telephone lines on Site 3 and attributed two of the three lines to IDOT, so two-thirds of the total costs.
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Q. Right. Okay. And you can see that the -- did you calculate the length of the lines?
A. I did not.
Q. Okay. So you didn't factor in the length of the actual lines, you just did a two out of three --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- calculation?

And on Site 6, how did you calculate IDOT liability for Site 6?
A. If I recall correctly, the AT\&T telephone lines, there were two of them, and I believe I allocated 50 percent since one of them was on the south side of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. And you allocated all of the telephone lines south of site -- on the south side of Greenwood Avenue?
A. Just for the area that was in the IDOT area of liability.
Q. Did you --
A. By extending it to the point where it went up onto the pole, which was further to the east.
Q. Okay. So did you factor in the length of

15 or even under your theory 15 to $8 S$ ?
A. No, I did not.
Q. It was just once it was part of it, it was all of the costs were incurred; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Let's turn to the utility ACM excavations category. And is that depicted on your figure one?
A. Yes, the excavations are shown.
Q. Where is the utility ACM excavation?
A. Shown on the -- both the north and south side of Site 6.
Q. And how is it shown?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you speak up, please.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. I'm sorry. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And how is it shown on this figure?
A. There's a key at the bottom right of the figure that shows a crosshatching that's labeled excavation and then you can see that crosshatching used -- of course, there's other layers that are involved as well, but you can see it running across the length of the north and south side of Site 6 on
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the figure as it's shown.
Q. Okay. And my question about the utility ACM excavation, it would not include the northeast excavation, which was a whole different --
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And how did you determine IDOT liability for the utility ACM excavation?
A. I attributed that part of the cost for the utility and ACM excavation related to the south side of Site 6.
Q. And that's the entire south side of Site 6, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. You didn't account for 1 S to 4 S or even under your theory 1 S to 8 S , is that correct?
A. I included all of the utility ACM excavation because it was being driven by the presence of asbestos in the earlier detected samples.
Q. And the answer to my question would be no, is that -- is that -- is that right?
A. Can you just restate the question, please.
Q. So you attribute all of the costs for the
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south side of Site 6 to IDOT?
A. That's correct.
Q. Irrespective of the length of the utility ACM excavation, is that correct?
A. I attributed all of the utility ACM costs on the south side of Site 6 to IDOT.
Q. Okay. And moving to the northeast excavation, which is depicted where on

Exhibit 204-38, figure one on your expert report?
A. It's shown again with the crosshatching on kind of the northeast corner of Site 3, and it's labeled northeast excavation.
Q. And what is the black line that goes through the northeast excavation?
A. That is the parcel 0393 boundary.
Q. Okay. And is part of the northeast excavation, does that fall on the side of parcel 0393?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Moving to North Shore Gas utility and looking at your figure one on 204-38, where is the North Shore Gas line depicted in figure one, 204-38?
A. It's again -- it's a line. I believe it's kind of a faded green that's dashed line that I

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

believe says gas in small print. I think between the dashes it's labeled there in the key. And it basically runs from the roughly center line or the center of the western boundary to the northeast where it intersects Site 6 at approximately roughly sample 4S.
Q. Okay. So this is it, it starts here?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it runs up?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So this is the North Shore Gas line?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is this black line?
A. That is the parcel 0393 boundary.
Q. Okay. And some of the gas line falls within 0393 and some of it falls outside of 0393, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And how did you allocate -- what was your allocation to IDOT for this North Shore Gas line?
A. 100 percent for Site 3.
Q. For Site 3, thank you. That's all the utilities I'm going to go over, because those

[^20]calculations, those findings, those drove that exciting testimony of figuring out percentages and applying it to total construction costs that went on -- yeah, but these are the utilities that drove the calculations, more or less? I mean, these are the ones I decided to go over because they had a big impact on determining calculations? I'm not going to tend to go over more of them, so dewatering and all of these other general services and U.S. EPA costs. I will ask you about the filling and capping, however. So the filling and capping was for the entire Site 3, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Minus the ramp area?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And you did not -- you did not determine by geography, you know, the portion of land area that is assigned -- that was allocated to IDOT under either expanded 0393 or not, but you did not calculate it by geography, you calculated it based on earlier utility allocations; is that right?
A. I don't think that's correct. I did it based upon the Site 3 boundaries.
Q. Okay. But you didn't determine IDOT has

[^21]this much of 0393, which is $X$ percentage of this geography and, therefore, they're responsible for $x$ amount of this geography?
A. I didn't base it upon the specific IDOT borings that are referenced in the board's order but based upon the causality of the work that was done.
Q. The causality of the work that was done, not the geography, the causality?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. You talked a little bit about the legal services provided by Manikas. Do you know whether the work involved areas outside of IDOT areas of liability?
A. I believe it did.
Q. Okay. Did it include Sites 4 and 5?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. And it included southwestern site of the area, is that -- the whole southwestern side remediation area, which is Sites 3, Sites 4 and 5 and Site 6; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And how was Manikas's invoices made to
reference only Sites 3 and Site 6?
A. There were notations regarding the

[^22]individual utilities that they were working on, that he was working on, or was different billing periods that had assigned hourly increments for that particular work.
Q. In determining costs and payments, did you consider any other costs that were paid by any other entity other than JM?

MS. BRICE: Objection, irrelevant. The Board has already ruled on this. There was a finding by the Board that any other -- that it's, yes --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Before we get more into your objection, what was the question?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Whether in his costs -- the costs paid by Johns Manville, whether he considered payments being made by any other entity.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: Yes. There is an order and opinion of the Board of December 21, 2017, which finds essential requests irrelevant. It says, as JM correctly states, the only one found to have violated the act is IDOT. The December 2016 order did not find that JM, ComEd or anyone else violated the act. Furthermore, no complaint has even been brought before the Board alleging that anyone has
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further violated the act. IDOT's arguments erroneously presume that any payments from ComEd to JM necessarily reduce IDOT's liability under the act to pay for the cleanup resulting from its violations. And it goes on to say that the Board finds that the information act seeks to discover is neither relevant nor calculated to lead to the information relevant to the issues for the remedy hearing.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, response?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: The date of that order is --
MS. BRICE: It's December 21, 2017.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. And what's the date of your expert report?

THE WITNESS: Is that a question to me?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: We can all find that order.
It's dated June 13, 2018, prior to that order and -MS. BRICE: Wait one second. That's not correct.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: It's not --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm sorry, what --
MS. BRICE: It's after the order.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. His expert report is
after the order?
MS. BRICE: Yes.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: That's my point.
MS. BRICE: You said the opposite.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. I apologize.
MS. BRICE: That the order is preventing -is saying this type of questioning is irrelevant.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If I may address that now. Mr. Dorgan includes cost calculations and says that they were paid by JM. That is pertinent to his report. The enforcement action memorandum is entered into by JM and ComEd. Mr. Dorgan makes certain representations that Johns Manville paid for these costs. It is certainly within our realm of cross-examination to ask whether Johns Manville actually paid them and whether any other entity paid them.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: How does that reconcile what the Board ordered as far as its order between JM and IDOT?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well, I don't know. I can't speak to that.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, I'm going to sustain Ms. Brice's objection.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. I would -- we obviously object to that and -- because if we feel it's very pertinent to this Board's finding that if another entity such as ComEd, who is the signatory to enforcement action memorandum, if they have paid some of these costs and Johns Manville is seeking money from IDOT for costs that they say they paid, that is entirely pertinent.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Because that's the same issue you brought up with the board, and I think you filed a motion to reconsider and I -twice, so I don't --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, and it's the same issue, and so --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. So the record is clear --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- our objection is noted.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And you also do not consider whether they received any insurance payments or any other tax liability calculations, you did not consider any of
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those in your report either?
MS. BRICE: Objection. This is outside of the scope of what we're talking about. I can represent to you if you ask that question, we would have made arguments about collateral source rule, et cetera. That's not something that has been brought up before and, frankly, he has testified that all of these costs were paid by JM. He has testified to that.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm just asking if he can -that he didn't consider whether JM received reimbursement from any other source.

MS. BRICE: Same objection.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained. It's kind of -- you're backdooring it in, Ms. O'Laughlin.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm just trying to make an argument.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I know.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I talked about ComEd. I also wanted to make sure that we included other potential sources.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I appreciate that. Thanks.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: If I can take just a moment.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you. No further
questions. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
Ms. O'Laughlin. Ms. Brice, redirect?
MS. BRICE: Yes. One second.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Mr. Dorgan, a few questions. You have discussed at length, but you talked about how the board and we had it up on the screen the board's language and its opinion, which is in Exhibit 203, about if the violations caused -- someone can recover costs that are related to violations of -that are -- I'm sorry, I have to get it. As a -I'd like to actually have -- that would be great, if you can bring that up, because I'd like the language exactly right. It's above that.

The board continues to find inappropriate that a party recover the costs of performing payment as a result of another party's violations. Okay.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. So are your opinions premised on part
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upon that language?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to Exhibit 202 if you don't mind, you had a discussion about 202. And this is Mr. Gobelman's figure from the first hearing, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And then can you turn to 204-38, please. You note here at the bottom, you said that when you were shown the samples of locations of ACM detected of equal -- above or equal to . 25 percent and/or visibly observed ACM. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. Can you explain to us the significance of .25 percent and put that in perspective as to how much ACM we're actually talking about?
A. That's trace amounts of asbestos fibers in a sample would be being able to count one or two individual asbestos fibers in a sample makers.
Q. Okay. So that's . 25 percent of the entire sample found asbestos --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- is that right?

[^23]

[^24]A. The EAM in particular specified that the clean corridor was going to be for the utilities based upon the presence of the asbestos that was detected in the samples that were represented in the EAM. And then that carried through to the Board ruling, which indicated that the work that had to be performed because of the presence of that material would be attributed to IDOT.
Q. Okay. So let's take an example. Let's go back to 204-38. Okay. I believe you're -- so we're talking Site 3 here, right, we've got -- your opinion was B3-15 through and B3-50, and those were borings that the Board find IDOT to be liable for; correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And they also happened to be within

0393 --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- right?

So your opinion was these two borings,
because there was asbestos in these borings, they had to create this entire clean corridor, is that your opinion?
A. That's correct.
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A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. They also, do they not -- this one
here falls within B3-15, doesn't it?
A. That's correct.
Q. And what did the Board say about having to clean up a grid?
A. That the entire grid had to be removed.
Q. Okay. And this also falls within B -- if that's B3-16, sorry, I can't see very well. This one also falls through B3-15, does it not?
A. Yes, it looks like it does.
Q. Okay. And then there's -- up here, this one falls through B3-25; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: What exhibit number is that?
MS. BRICE: It's 67-534.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And B3-25, I believe, was a boring location identified by the Board; is that correct?
A. I believe that's correct, yes.
Q. And so were B3-16 and 15?
A. That's correct.
Q. I believe Ms. O'Laughlin represented that your opinion with respect to 0393 was a theory of liability. It's actually a theory of damages, isn't that correct, with the Waukegan waterline? Sorry, I'm talking about the Waukegan waterline.
A. Yes, I was referring to my allocation of costs to four of the Waukegan waterline construction work based upon what I considered to be the IDOT areas of liability on my expert report.
Q. And what language did you seize upon in the order with respect to 0393 being part of the IDOT area of liability?
A. Both that it was in the control of IDOT where IDOT had been found to have violated the act. And then as an element of the causation for the work that had to occur within 0393 based upon the specific borings represented in the order for IDOT.
Q. And IDOT -- in the ruling, the Board talks about 0393 separately as an -- and decides whether or not there was control over or possessory interest in 0393 by the Board, correct?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. Okay. And then they find everything that was discussed at the first hearing that was known at
the first hearing to be part of that IDOT area of liability, correct, the boring locations?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you. No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'd also like to lodge an objection. The order says what it says. Mr. Dorgan is not an attorney. It's not a proper redirect to make an argument of the Board orders.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I think you asked some questions too about the Board order, but certainly --

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Mr. Dorgan, so in your testimony you just talked about ACM size, and your math was limited to ACM above 2.5 percent, is that correct? You can maybe help me with that.
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And so the size of the ACM 2.5 percent is -- why is that significant?
A. That was a threshold that was being used by EPA in their evaluation of the sampling that had been done at the site.

| Page 84 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MS. BRICE: Objection -- |  |  |  |
| HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice, do you |  |  |  |
| have an objection? |  |  |  |
| MS. BRICE: 2.5 percent is not right. It's |  |  |  |
| 0.25 percent. |  |  |  |
| HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin? |  |  |  |
| MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 0.25, less than one, 0.25 -- |  |  |  |
| oh, it's not two -- well, 0.25 percent. |  |  |  |
| MS. BRICE: Yeah, it says it right here. |  |  |  |
| MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you. Got it, |  |  |  |
| 0.25 percent. Thank you. |  |  |  |
| BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: |  |  |  |
| Q. Did U.S. EPA limit the clean corridors to |  |  |  |
| where ACM was found below that size limit that |  |  |  |
| Ms. Brice just identified? |  |  |  |
| A. I don't believe so. |  |  |  |
| Q. So let me ask you another question. |  |  |  |
| Suppose sample results from 15 to $4 S$ were clean, |  |  |  |
| that there was no ACM found that was larger than the |  |  |  |
| size of ACM that was just clarified by Ms. Brice, |  |  |  |
| suppose 15 to 4 S did not have ACM of that size, |  |  |  |
| would that have changed the clean corridor |  |  |  |
| requirement for the U.S. EPA? |  |  |  |
| A. If there had been no ACM detected within |  |  |  |

[^26]that south right-of-way, there would have been no need for a clean corridor.
Q. What about other utilities in other corridors, there would be no need for any clean corridors if the 15 to $4 S$ were clean?

MS. BRICE: Objection, vague. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Do you understand the question?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you rephrase that, please.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So if 1 S to 4 S did not have $A C M$, how would that -- that wouldn't have changed the U.S. EPA requirements for clean corridors in Sites 3 and Site 6, would it?
A. That would be speculation on my part in terms of what they might have required for other clean corridors on other sites based upon those four locations. And as I previously said, the asbestos detected in the south right-of-way.
Q. And that required clean corridors throughout the southwestern sites of the area, isn't that correct?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$



[^27]samples; in other words, no asbestos there?
A. I believe my testimony was answering the question about other clean corridors on Site 6 and Site 3, what those requirements would have been in the absence of material in the $1 S$ to $4 S$ samples.
Q. Okay. You will agree that there's ACM all over the southwestern sites area?

MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes the testimony.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: He can answer if he's able. BY THE WITNESS:
A. There has certainly been asbestos detected in multiple locations on both Site 3 and Site 6. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. And Sites 4 and Sites 5?
A. I'm not familiar with Sites 4 and 5.
Q. And on the north side of Site 6?
A. Yes, on the north side of Site 6 .

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Nothing further.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
Ms. O'Laughlin.
MS. BRICE: I have one question.
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BY MS. BRICE:
Q. She just mentioned Sites 4 and 5, and I believe you talked about Mr. Manikas's legal cost table. Were the costs for four and five taken out of that in your tabulation?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Okay. So did you attribute any Site 4, 5 costs to IDOT?
A. None.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
Ms. O'Laughlin, anything?
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Did Manikas seek an easement for 0393? Excuse me, did Manikas do any easement work in regards to parcel 0393?
A. I'm not familiar with the specific work he did for 0393 but certainly there were easements within 0393 that he's written up.
Q. Did he get permission from IDOT?
A. I'm not aware of what permissions were sought or provided.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I have nothing further.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
Mr. Dorgan. You may step down. I appreciate it.
Let's go off the record, transcript for a moment.
(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
MS. BRICE: We're calling Mr. Nguyen adverse.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
Ms. Brice is calling you as an adverse witness. (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You may proceed, Ms. Brice.

MICHAEL NGUYEN,
called as an adverse witness herein, appearing remotely via Zoom, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Nguyen. We appreciate you being here today. I've got some questions for you. As I understand it, you have a deposition in front of you that you gave back in March of 2019; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you also have an exhibit
binder that we provided to you over the weekend?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask you some questions. You work at Andrews Engineering, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you work with Mr. Steven Gobelman?
A. Correct.
Q. He is one of your bosses, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you do work on various projects for him as well as others at Andrews, is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit about your background. You attended Robert Morris College, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you received a degree, right?
A. Correct.
Q. But you don't remember the name of the degree, is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. What is auto CAD or CAD for short?
A. It's computer aid drafting.
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[^29]MR. GRANT: Object on the basis of vague.
Obviously he doesn't understand what she's saying. And if she could split it up into little bite size pieces, that might help.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Would that be fine, Ms. Brice?

MS. BRICE: Sure.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. What do you do to create a drawing in auto CAD?
A. Well, let's just say that create a picture, say overlay an image, so the software can generate the image and then put the company boiler in, scale, no error, the standard stuff.
Q. Okay. Anything else you can think of?
A. I mean, that's a lot it can do with the software. I mean, like generate the dimension, draw line, draw circle, a rendering, do volumes, calculation. It's a lot the software can do.
Q. When I took your deposition in this case, you told me that you did not know the term "point of reference" with respect to working in CAD, is that correct?

MR. GRANT: Object on the basis of that's
really calling for hearsay. She's --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry, could you speak up, Mr. Grant?

MR. GRANT: Yeah, I'm going to object.
There's --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Could you put your mask on for starters.

MR. GRANT: Oh, I'm sorry. Thank you. I'm sorry.

Yeah, I'm objecting in terms she's asking about what she asked at a deposition. The deposition transcript is hearsay unless there is some sort of an inconsistent statement that was made under oath. So if she's got a question for him, she can ask it. But if she's saying when I asked you during your deposition, you said, she's bringing hearsay.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: I don't think it's hearsay. I'm just asking him what he said in his deposition. And if that's -- I just want to find out if that's still the case.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't see anything wrong with it. If he can answer, fine.
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And then if we need to go into impeachment, you can, so you may answer. Overruled.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Do you remember the question?
A. I don't. Can you repeat?
Q. Sure. When I took your deposition, you told me that you didn't know what the term "point of reference" meant with respect to working in CAD; is that correct?
A. I can't remember.
Q. Okay. If you take a look at -- open up your deposition, and you take a look at page 53.

MR. GRANT: I'm going to object again. This is an improper use of the deposition transcript.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Overruled.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Tell me when you're on page 53.
A. I'm on 53 .
Q. Okay. And if you go to lines 13 -- and this is your deposition, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And I asked you the question: But on this map right here, Gobelman figure one, what point of reference did you use in

[^30]

[^31]together figures for Mr. Gobelman, right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. If you go to your exhibit binder book and go to the first one, which is Exhibit 205, and turn to -- is Exhibit 205 Mr . Gobelman's expert report dated August 22, 2018, the first page, 2051? It's the very first thing in your book.
A. Oh, my first one?
Q. Not your deposition. Your binder book.
A. Yeah, 205? Okay.
Q. Yeah. Is this his expert report from August 22, 2018?
A. I never seen this before.
Q. Okay. Can you turn to 205-22, please. Tell me when you're there.
A. Yes, I'm there.
Q. Okay. Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes.
Q. What is it?
A. It's a figures map.
Q. And is this Mr. Gobelman's base map?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice, what
exhibit are we on? I just found these exhibit books. I don't know who left them up here.
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[^32]to make sure you've reviewed them.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Yes, I've read these.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Now, can you go to -- there's a tab in your binder. The next tab is 207. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Again, 207-1, it says, expert
rebuttal supplemental report of Steven Gobelman, and it's dated November 7, 2018. Do you recognize this?
A. No, not this document, I haven't seen this before.
Q. Okay. Turn, if you will, to page 207-13.
A. 207-13?
Q. Yes, dash 13. It says Gobelman figure one. It's the second tab 207 and just dash 13.

MR. GRANT: You might want to tell him the numbers are on the bottom of the page. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Yeah, the numbers are on the bottom of the page on the right-hand corner. The same document you looked at that you said that you didn't know what it was, 207-1, it's in that same document.
A. Okay. Gobelman one.

[^33]Q. Yeah, Gobelman one. Okay. Did you create this document?
A. 207, what did you say, 13?
Q. Correct.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you know why there is -and when you went to 207-1, I said that this is a supplemental report. Do you know why Mr. Gobelman created a supplemental report?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Is 207-13 different from 205-22? If you could pull those both out, you said you created both of them. The first one was in the first report, and the other one is in the supplemental report, so $I$ want to know if they are different from one another.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Are these different from one another?
A. Yeah, they match.
Q. Okay. But are the boundaries and the figures and where everything is placed on the map different on 207-13 than where it is on 205-22?
A. I don't know. Where am I looking?
\[
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Q. Okay. So can you answer the question as to whether they're the same or different?
A. They're different, yeah, the image different.
Q. Now, what do you mean by the image?
A. The image for one of them gray. One of them more green color finish.
Q. Okay. But I'm talking about the lines and the -- and where things are placed on the map, are they the same or are they different? Let me ask it this way, it might be easier for you.

Do you remember a time where Mr. Gobelman asked you to revise the maps that you had done originally?
A. Yeah. Because, yeah, Gobelman did put like a note attached to image that's different from before, so, yes, he asked me to revise it.
Q. Okay. And is this set on 13 the revised Gobelman one figure as far as you know?
A. Yes.
Q. And you used this 207-13 as a foundation for creating the next group of documents, which is 207-14, 15 -- just turn the page -- and I'm going to give you time -- 16, 17, 18, 19, 20. Okay. So my
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question is: Did 207-13 serve as the foundation for creating the maps that follow it --
A. Yes.
Q. -- 207-13 to 207-20?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Let's take a step back. When you were creating all of these figures for Mr. Gobelman, you didn't know how Mr. Gobelman planned to use them, did you?
A. No, I don't.
Q. In fact, Mr. Gobelman gave you no details about this project at all, did he?
A. Yes. Yeah, I have no idea, yeah.
Q. But he didn't give you any details about the project, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So you had no idea that your figures were going to be used to determine damages in a lawsuit, right?
A. Right.
Q. You put together these figures in 205 and 207, the ones we've just been talking about, but overlaying PDF files Mr . Gobelman gave to you in auto CAD; is that right?

[^34]A. Right.
Q. And you used, as I understand it, many different sources to create these maps; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you overlaid these PDFs and tried to match up the site boundaries with the different PDF paper documents that he gave you, right?
A. Right.
Q. But you didn't pick the sources, you didn't pick the PDF documents that you used to create the map; isn't that correct?
A. What do you mean not picked it?
Q. You didn't --
A. I used what he gave me.
Q. That's my point. You didn't pick them, you used what he gave you?
A. Correct. Oh, I'm sorry, yes.
Q. If you can turn to the next tab, it's 229F. Do you see the next tab?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recognize this piece of paper, this auto CAD drawing?
A. I can't remember.
Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Gobelman ever

[^35]gave you some auto CAD software that $J M$ turned over to IDOT during the course of the last couple of years? Do you recall him giving you an auto CAD file to work with?
A. No, can't remember, no.
Q. Okay. Did you use an auto CAD file that you obtained from Mr. Gobelman to create any of your maps?
A. No auto CAD file.
Q. So you only used the maps that Mr. Gobelman directed you to use, correct, and they were paper maps?
A. PDF, yes, PDF files.
Q. Yes. And you had no idea that there were other maps out there that you could use that might be better quality or better information, isn't that right, you didn't know that?
A. Yes, I didn't know, right.
Q. And you didn't go looking for other sources on your own, right?
A. Right.
Q. Let's talk about what you did use. If you turn to -- go back to your first tab, 205.
A. 205?
\[
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Q. Yes, 205. And we're going to go to 22, 205-22.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Sorry. Taking me a second. Give me a second. Okay. 205-22, if you look over here in the notes, it says you used the Atwell survey. Do you see that?
A. On 205-22?
Q. Yeah, under the notes.
A. Okay.
Q. What does it say that you used the Atwell survey for?
A. Oh, can't remember.
Q. Well, no, can you just -- I think it says that. It says under number two, IDOT right-of-way land -- my eyes are going. But can you read --
A. My eyes too. I can barely read this thing. It's a terrible copy.
Q. Okay. I'm going to read to you what it says on the screen here blown up. IDOT right-of-way 0393 land acquisition legal description and Atwell plat of topographic survey July 22, 2018. That's under your notes okay? That's what it says. So do you know what you used the Atwell survey for?

[^36]A. Oh, can't remember. Oh, which one is
that? Which map is that, so $I$ can see, you know?
Q. I'm not sure if it's actually in here, frankly. Just so if -- I think it's in the documents, so -- but just from sitting here, you don't remember, is that the answer?
A. I don't remember, yes.
Q. Okay. If you can turn to 205-45, so the same tab, and then just further along.
A. 45 ?
Q. 45, yes.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. And what is this?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay.
A. I didn't create this one. I don't know.
Q. I know you didn't create it, but you testified that you used it in your -- to create your figures in 205 and 207, right?
A. I can't remember if I used the Exhibit 205-45.
Q. Okay. Well, I'll represent for the record that $M r$. Gobelman said that this was the document that was used. Okay?

[^37]A. Yeah.
Q. And so I want to ask you some questions about that. He said that on Exhibit 205-7 of his report, that this document you used to generate the Site 3 borings for Gobelman figure one. Does that ring a bell?
A. I can't remember. Probably. I can't remember. It's too long, gosh, like a couple years now.
Q. Okay. This document is a draft map, right, it says draft on the top?
A. Yes.
Q. And if Mr. Gobelman gave you draft documents to use to create his figures, you used them; right?
A. I can't remember what he gave me.
Q. Okay. But I'm just asking you: If he gave you something that was a draft map, you would use the draft map that he gave you; right?
A. If he told me to use this, I used it.
Q. Okay. And if you want to accurately plot borings on a base map, you need a document that gives you northings and eastings from each boring, right?

[^38]A. Probably. It's northern -- if northing easting fine, you measure -- I mean from the field like from the knowns -- known location of -- like, for example, if you know the fire hydrant, okay, you go like five feet, you measure five feet into the east or to the west, something, it's still good. I mean, that's why I mean the survey way, way long time ago. And they give ruler and ruler to measure stuff out, you know, it's still good.
Q. Okay. I'm asking a different question though. Okay. So what I'm saying is if you want to accurately plot borings on a base map, you need a document that gives you northings and eastings for each boring; isn't that true?
A. Like I say, north and easting, yes, or measurement from the -- like from distance from one to another, from a known point, yes, still good too.
Q. Okay. I think I'm going to -- let's go to your deposition.
A. Okay.
Q. If you turn to page 42.
A. 42 ?
Q. 42 .
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Okay. You say, starting at line five, the question was --
A. To line five, yes.
Q. Hold on, I want to make sure I got the right thing. Actually, no, go to line two, sorry.
A. Line two.
Q. It says: If you want to accurately plot borings, right, on a base map that you're creating?

Answer: Right.
Question: What kind of source documents do you want, and what information do you want on it?

Answer: Well, first of all, there has to be a north and east in here, so $I$ know that north and east line up. That's the first thing.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then if you turn to the next page on 43 and you go to line seven, go to line seven.
A. Okay.
Q. It says: And which -- between the north

[^39]and east, are you talking about the north and east at the borings themselves or the site outline?

Answer: The borings themselves.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then turn to page 47, line 20.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. And it says -- this is an answer to my question, and it says: Like I say, the north and east, if you want to be accurate, I mean, yeah, you need to have that.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So going back to 205-45, this document does not have northings and eastings on it, does it, for the boring location?
A. No.
Q. State plane coordinates are another way to identify features on a map, right?
A. The coordinates, yes.
Q. Okay. But this document does not have state plane coordinates on it, right,

Document 205-45?
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A. Yeah, that's say something, I mean, yeah, I don't see this statement.
Q. Yeah, just to be clear, I couldn't really hear you. So it does or it does not have state plane coordinates on it?
A. No, don't see anything, coordinates on here.
Q. Okay. Does it have any kind of grid system on it?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So you couldn't plot the exact location of these borings on your base map using 205-45, could you?
A. Well, you can line up to the image.
Q. Okay. But you can't plot the exact locations of the borings?
A. Not exactly. Exactly 100 percent, no.
Q. Sorry, I don't understand.
A. Not exact 100 percent exact, no.
Q. And even though this was a draft map, you didn't ask Mr. Gobelman if there was a better map you could use to plot the Site 3 borings, did you?
A. I can't remember. Because, again, it's going back like a couple years ago. I don't know if

[^41]this is my first map or second or third or whatever we did.
Q. Okay. Let's turn to page 43 in your

## deposition.

A. Yeah.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Ms. Brice, we're talking about Exhibit 229E?

MS. BRICE: That is correct. And I will give you the page number, I apologize.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah, I think your pages might be a little bit different than ours.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm not following you at all --

MS. BRICE: I'll fix it. I'll fix it. Once we're done -- I'm sorry, I've been giving him the page number of the deposition, not the exhibit number.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Gotcha.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Understood. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. So I'm going to page 43 at the top, but at the bottom it says $229 \mathrm{E}-44$. Do you see that? Are you on that page?
A. 229E?

[^42]Q. Just go to page 43 of your deposition.
A. Oh, 43, yes.
Q. Okay. And going to line 14, okay?
A. Okay.
Q. And I'm going to read it.

Mr. Gobelman said this was the map that you guys used. This is referencing 204-45. If that's the case, do you recall going back and saying is there a better map that has more information, would you have done that?

Answer: Yes. If you got a better map, yes, you have to tell them.

Okay. Do you remember having any kind of conversation like that with Mr. Gobelman?

Answer: No, I don't.
Okay. And he didn't tell you there were more precise maps available, did he?
A. No, he didn't tell me.
Q. And if you had been given a better map with more information about the location of the Site 3 borings, would you have used that map to plot the Site 3 borings instead of 205-45?
A. Well, you can have, yeah, better, yes.
Q. Okay. Can you turn to 225 in your

[^43]exhibit book. It's about three or four documents in.
A. 225 ?
Q. 225. I'm going to go to page 88.
A. 225-88. 88?
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Let's go -- it's -- okay.

Go to 225, sorry, 94.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Have you ever seen this document before?
A. Again, can't remember.
Q. Okay. This is an AECOM document, right?

Do you see AECOM's letterhead over on the right?
A. Yes.
Q. And this document shows Site 3 borings, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it also has a grid, right?
A. Well, it's not the site grid. It's the grid of the -- I don't know, it's a grid of a boring.
Q. Yeah, there's a grid on it, right?
A. Grid of what? Side grid or grid of boring, you know what $I$ mean? It's different.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: One at a time, please.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Sorry. Sampling boring grid?
A. Yes.
Q. But Mr. Gobelman didn't give you this document to put up the Site 3 borings, did he?
A. I can't remember.
Q. Let's turn to 205-46, so go back to 205 at the beginning and go to page 46.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Do you see this -- do you recognize this document?
A. No, can't remember.
Q. Okay. So you don't remember Mr . Gobelman giving you this document and asking you to use it in your figures?
A. Yeah, I can't remember.
Q. Let's turn the page to 205-47. Do you recognize this document?
A. Can't remember.
Q. Okay. Mr. Gobelman testified that he gave you this document to use, you don't have any recollection of that?
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A. It's been so long.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Hold on,
Mr. Nguyen. Mr. Grant?
MR. GRANT: Now we're using two depositions.
MS. BRICE: No, we're not.
MR. GRANT: You can tell him that he
testified --
MS. BRICE: Sure, I can say it. I can say here --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Wait a minute, let's talk one at a time, guys, please.

MR. GRANT: All right. The problem I got is that I'm sitting here and supposed to recognize that what she's telling my witness is true. I don't know if it's true. You said Mr. Gobelman testified, you know, a little bit more foundation will seem to be --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. But as far as her reading the document, this is the only way we're going to get through it.

MR. GRANT: Well, that's fine. I understand as far as the deposition, you know, use of deposition, even though we're not doing -- formally creating an inconsistency --

[^44]HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Right.
MR. GRANT: -- how he's doing his job. But
if she's going to say Mr. Gobelman said you used this, then he reports to Mr. Gobelman, well, I guess she says that --

MS. BRICE: Okay. Here --
MR. GRANT: I don't even know if that's true.
That's all I'm trying to find out, Susan. I'm not trying to screw up your --

MS. BRICE: Sure.
MR. GRANT: -- examination or --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Fair enough.
Ms. Brice.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Sure. So if you look at 205-7?
A. 07?
Q. Yes. Hold on one second, Mr. Nguyen.

MS. BRICE: If you look at 205-7 and 205-8,
Mr. Gobelman talks about using these documents, and then they're attached to his expert report at the back. Do you see it.

MR. GRANT: Show me.
MS. BRICE: See? Sample locations.
MR. GRANT: I guess for one thing, that these
are really questions that ought to be addressed to Mr. Gobelman since it's his report.

MS. BRICE: He created the map.
MR. GRANT: He didn't -- he told you earlier he's never seen the report before, so --

MS. BRICE: He testified that he created the map.

MR. GRANT: Yeah. But, you know, when you show him the reports, he did the maps based on PDFs they were given. So I really think these are -- if you're saying, you know, Mr. Gobelman testified that he used this map --

MS. BRICE: It's fine, I'll move on.
MR. GRANT: All right.
MS. BRICE: I'm just trying to understand --
MR. GRANT: Again, I'm not trying to be difficult. But he said under oath that it's an exhibit he's never seen before, you know.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Well, he doesn't know if he's seen it before.

MR. GRANT: I think he said -- when she went to the first Exhibit 205, the first thing he said: I never saw this. I never seen this.

MS. BRICE: No, that was the expert report.

[^45]

[^46]

[^47]

[^48]align PDF documents, you want the scales on the documents to be accurate; right?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. You don't know if the scales of the documents Mr . Gobelman gave you are accurate, do you?
A. Right. Yeah, I just go -- for example, the Exhibit 204-38, so it has a scale, so I don't use that scale it out by one inch go to 50 .
Q. Right. But I'm talking about when you did the maps, I'm going back. You don't know if the sources he gave you had accurate scales, do you, all of the sources?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. Okay. Let's go back to 205-43.

Tell me when you're there.
A. Okay. 205-23.

MR. GRANT: Did he say 23 or 43?
MS. BRICE: 23.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Oh, 205-43.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Yeah, 205-43.
A. Okay.

[^49]Q. Okay. Did you pick this figure?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what is it showing about the Site 3 boundaries?
A. Yeah, they have a whole bunch of different boundaries not matching up to each other.
Q. I'm sorry, I didn't understand what you just said at the end.
A. Yeah, there's a whole bunch of boundaries, it's not matching up.
Q. They don't match up, is that what you said?
A. Right.
Q. What color is Mr. Gobelman's Site 3 boundary on this map?
A. It's the black dash.
Q. Okay. Black dash line?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And in the northeast, southwest and southeast corners of Site 3, okay, the black line doesn't line up with the other sources noted on this document, does it?
A. Right.
Q. Okay. You didn't discuss with

[^50]Mr. Gobelman whether you thought a source he gave you was reliable or not, did you?
A. I think I -- yeah, I talked to him about -- I show him the sources not matching up.
Q. Okay. But did you talk to him about whether you thought a source itself was reliable?
A. Right.
Q. You did or you did not talk about that?
A. Yeah, we talk about, yeah, that the source he gave me, that it is probably not accurate, because as you can see in three, four of them not matching up to one of them, so I don't know which one's correct.
Q. Okay. So let's go to page 26 of your deposition, which is going to be 229E-27.
A. 27?
Q. It's 26 on yours, Mr. Nguyen. And I'm going to line 20 , and it says:

Like again on the figure, whatever the project man provides to me what to use, I'm using. I don't have like saying no, don't use this, don't use that. Do you see that?
A. Yeah.

[^51]Q. So you just used what he told you to use, didn't you?
A. Yeah.
Q. And Mr. Gobelman told you where to locate the various features on Site 3 and 6, didn't he?
A. What do you mean locate site features?
Q. He told you where to place certain things on the map, right? Like let's say the northeast excavation, he told you where to put it; right?
A. Right.
Q. And if you thought Mr. Gobelman was wrong about where he wanted something placed, you still placed it where he wanted it, didn't you?
A. Well, because I don't know which one is correct. I mean, he's the project manager, if there are like differences like this, you can't make exactly the decision for me.
Q. Understood. But if you thought it was wrong, you still put it where he told you to put it even though you thought it was wrong; isn't that right?
A. If he say put it there, I put it there.
Q. Give me one second. Sorry.

I'm looking for 229E-374.

[^52]A. 229E?
Q. Yeah.
A. All right. I don't have 229E. I only
have 229.
Q. Yeah, go to 229. Okay. And then go one, two, three, four, I think it's five pages in. Do you see at the bottom it says $229 \mathrm{E}-374$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. This is an e-mail between you and Mr . Gobelman, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's talking about B3-50, which is a soil boring; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that's within the northeast excavation, isn't it, if you remember?
A. I don't think -- which one is that? It looks pretty small, $I$ can't read, but, yeah, northeast.
Q. Okay. But let's just go -- let's just talk about 229E-374.
A. Okay.
Q. You see here that you put B3-50, it's just below the right-of-way, do you see that?

[^53]

[^54]that the changes you made were not because you found something wrong with, because Mr. Gobelman told you to make them; right?
A. Right.
Q. And that's because you were not the decisionmaker here, correct?
A. Right.
Q. You made drafts of your base maps and figures, right, and showed them to Mr . Gobelman?
A. Yeah, I used -- yeah, when I draft the figures, yeah, I e-mail them to him for review.
Q. Okay. And he was the one that decided if any changes were made to your drafts?
A. Yes.
Q. So you didn't make any changes on your own, right?
A. No.
Q. Okay. If you can turn to Exhibit 221, it's there at the back, kind of near the back of your exhibit binder.
A. 21, yes.
Q. Okay. It's a one-page document. Do you know what this is?
A. It's a map.
\[
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Q. Okay. Did you create it?
A. Looks like it, yes.
Q. It says -- and what's the date on the map?
A. Oh, man, it's so small, I can't --
Q. Okay. I'll represent for the record it says April 2018.

MR. GRANT: Where's the date, Susan?
MS. BRICE: Right here.
MR. GRANT: Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. This wasn't your file figure though, was it, this is one of your drafts; right?
A. I don't know. Can't remember.
Q. Okay. Is this the same as any of your other maps that we just looked at?
A. Oh, the boring and -- I mean, the top of box, yeah, I mean, it looks the same without the image background.
Q. Okay. That's fine. Let's just turn to 207-217, next -- are you there?
A. 217, yes.
Q. Okay. I'm going to read -- I'm going to read this first sentence out loud at 217-1. It says

[^55]attached -- and this is an e-mail from Mr. Gobelman to Mr. McGinley and Ms. O'Laughlin and

Mr. Dougherty. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. It says: Attached are the revised figures. R1 were made the first changes that only adjusted the location of parcel 0393. And R2 were the figures used in the supplemental. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So this exhibit contains two set of figures $I$ would -- based upon -- if that's accurate what $I$ just read, this exhibit contains two set of figures; right?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And you can look through them, but you created these figures, didn't you?
A. Yeah.
Q. All of these figures in exhibit --
A. 217 dash 2, dash 3, all that, yeah.
Q. Turn to 217-5.
A. Okay.
Q. You see that? And this is -- it's -- on the bottom, it says it's Gobelman figure three,

[^56]right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I want you to look at the
northwest portion of Site 3, and there's a feature there that's kind of an L-shaped turned on its side.

Do you see that?
A. The hatching area.
Q. With the hatching?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what that is? Do you happen to know what that is?
A. I have no idea about it.
Q. Okay. So now turn to 217-14. Okay?
A. 17-14.
Q. Yes. Are you there?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Will you agree with me that this hatching, the side shaped $L$, is in a different place on 217-14 than it is on 217-5?
A. Yes.
Q. It's further south, correct?
A. Yes, further south, yes.
Q. On 217-14?
A. Yes, 217-14 further south, yes.

[^57]Q. Okay. Now can you turn to 217-8. Tell me when you're there.
A. Okay. I'm here.
Q. Okay. And I want you to focus in on the hatched area on this one. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. And then turn to 217-17. Okay. And look at the hatched area on that one. You see that?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. Yeah, do you see that? Are you looking at them?
A. Yeah.
Q. Are they in the same place? Because it looks to me like on 217-17, it's further to the right than it is on 217-8. It's closer to the western boundary -- the eastern boundary, I'm sorry, of Site 3. Will you agree with me on that?
A. Which one further on south?
Q. The one further -- further to the right is 217-17 if you're comparing the distance between the right edge of the hatched area and the boundary to the right, there is more area there on 2017-8 than there is on 217-17, right?
A. Further right?
Q. Further to the right.
A. I mean, you can match it up with the grid, that's fine.
Q. Okay. It doesn't look like --
A. It matches up with the grid, it means it's on.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah, if you flip back and forth, it doesn't look like it's all the way into the right, so --
(WHEREUPON, there was simultaneous crosstalk.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Wait, one person at a time.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. So look at the gray area, okay, go to the southeast corner of the hatched area.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. And see how far it is away from the boundary of Site 3?
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Can you rephrase.
It's hard when you don't stand up, Mr. Grant.
MR. GRANT: I'm sorry.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. You didn't take any extra steps to ensure what he told you to do using auto CAD was accurate, did you?
A. By what? He asked me of $I$ do accurate?
Q. No. He told you where to place things, right?
A. Right.
Q. And you didn't go back and take any extra steps to make sure that it was correct what he told you -- where he told you to place things, did you?
A. No, because I don't know -- like I don't know what's the -- like where I need to put like certain spot, $I$ don't.
Q. Okay. If you can turn to the last exhibit in your book, 202.
A. 202, okay. Okay. Yeah, see, this kind of familiar.
Q. Did you draft -- did you put this map together?
A. Yeah, from the PDF, yes, from the exhibit

[^59]you show me earlier.
Q. Okay. And your name is on this map, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you check to see if this map -- the boundaries on this map lined up with the boundaries on your exhibits in 205 and 207, your figures in 205 and 207, did you try and match this map to the maps in 205 and 207?
A. Well, see, I don't know if this is the first map or the second or third or fourth that he gave me so $I$ can check.
Q. My question is simple. Do you remember trying to match this map and these boundaries --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to what you did in the figures for Exhibits 205 and 207? If you don't remember, that's fine.
A. Yeah, I don't remember.
Q. Okay. One last question. Go back to 204-38. Tell me when you're there.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. And this was one that you said looked familiar, right?
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[^62]related to this particular map and the boring locations. Do you remember?
A. Yeah, like I say, I can't remember.
Q. Okay. But I just meant from today, from this morning?
A. Right.
Q. Do you remember talking about --
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. My question is if the boring locations on this map are accurately located, and you inserted this PDF into an accurate base map, would the resulting map accurately identify the boring locations?
A. Yes.

MS. BRICE: Objection. We have not agreed to the admissibility of this map, obviously it's a map, we talked about this map. But $I$ just want the record to say this is one of the things that we move to be -- we deem lacks foundation and was not admissible. But to the extent you want to ask him about it, $I$ believe that that is okay. But $I$ want to state for the record that we are not agreeing to the admissibility or the validity of this map.

MR. GRANT: Okay. Was this part of this
report that was attached here?
MS. BRICE: It is.
MR. GRANT: That's all. Thank you,
Mr. Nguyen.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Do you have any questions?

MS. BRICE: I have no further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay.
MR. GRANT: Mike, you're free to go.
THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you very much.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You could have done that before lunch.

MS. BRICE: Okay. We're going to call our next witness.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're off the transcript, Jen.
(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)

RIAH DUNTON,
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Could you please state your name for the
record.
A. Riah Dunton.
Q. And where do you work, Ms. Dunton?
A. Weaver Consultants Group.
Q. And how long have you worked there?
A. 14 years, nine months.
Q. Okay. And what is your current title?
A. Designer.
Q. Designer, can you elaborate on that?

What kind of designer?
A. Well, I'm multiversital. I do architectural engineering as well as environmental design.
Q. And what is your educational background?
A. I have an associate's degree in applied science for auto CAD that $I$ received from the Art Institute of Houston in 1995. And I have a bachelors of science for architecture that I received from University of Michigan in '03.
Q. Can you briefly explain for us in your terminology what is auto CAD, or CAD for short?
A. Auto CAD is a software for computers in order to draft. You are going to be using that for local reasons such as architectural engineering and
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environmental purposes that will lay out plans, details, drawings, things that will actually be used to follow for construction purposes.
Q. And what kind of experience do you have and had?
A. Aside from my degree back in 1995, I have literally done architecture, engineering and environmental purposes, I've designed roads, I've designed buildings, inside landscaping, environmental layouts, boring locations such as the plans that we are going to be discussing today.
Q. Okay. And then you've been doing that in CAD or auto CAD, is that correct?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Can you just briefly describe how CAD is used in the environmental field?
A. Basically it's for documenting the diagrams and the site layouts themselves, such as the fact that you will have a site and you want to report the boring locations, a layout where the road is, where a building is, the property boundaries. And in the case that we're talking about here, which will be the boring locations, so you would map those out on an aerial or a plan of the site so that you
would know where they were located.
Q. And how often is CAD used in the
environmental field?
A. I would say rather often. It's also used to document soil types as well. So you'll see the cross section, cross sections for roads, which is also needed for environmental, so you know what kind of soils are underneath it, so you'd have a profile of that.
Q. Who is Doug Dorgan?
A. He's the head principal of the company Weaver Consultants Group.
Q. And do you work for him?
A. I do.
Q. And how did you work with him on this matter, this Johns Manville matter, that we're here today to discuss?
A. I have been helping him with some figures on laying out the site that's in question with regards to AECOM documents that we obtained from them to lay out the borings and the street layouts that have been a part of.
Q. Okay. And why don't we do this, let's turn to the first exhibit, Exhibit 204. And have
you seen this document before?
A. I have seen it, yeah.
Q. Okay. Can you turn to 204-38, please.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. What is 204 -- and I'll ask these two together, 204-38 and 204-39, and what are these two documents?
A. This is the site layout that's in question at Site 3 and parcel Site 6. 38 shows the basic layout showing utilities, where some embankments are, basic outline of the sites, some boring locations where corridors, excavation locations, basic information for the site.
Q. Okay.
A. And 39 is basically the same information with the addition of where $A C M$ has been observed. This looks like it was produced so that you could see the overall and then some of the contaminated areas at the separate --
Q. Okay. And I'm going to direct your attention down here to the bottom right corner, and it's with the orange. It says visual ACM observed.
A. Correct.
Q. So are the orange dots where visual ACM
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was observed?
A. Yes, that's what it's indicating.
Q. And did you work with Mr. Dorgan to create these maps?
A. I did. The maps themselves, as I had mentioned, are actually from AECOM. They did the initial -- the first actual layout itself. My job was basically to help framework some of these used as an exhibit to show where the ACM was located. So through with other documents saying that there are -- those circles were actually added by myself indicating where those -- that visual ACM was noted.
Q. On the visual ACM, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You put the circles around there?
A. Correct.
Q. And you said you got the information from AECOM. Did that come in an auto CAD format?
A. No, it did not.
Q. It did not?
A. No. It came in a PDF format.
Q. Okay. But initially -- but at one point you got an auto CAD format from AECOM?

MR. GRANT: Leading, objecting.
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HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Sustained.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Do I answer?

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Sure.
A. I can't say for sure -- I just know that we do have PDFs -- it was about five years ago -- if we received auto CAD drawings or not. I know we had currently the PDF versions in our auto CAD version.
Q. In your auto CAD version?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. My version is auto CAD. The AECOM document that is used here is actually an image that's in our auto CAD.
Q. All right. Understood. Did you use the Atwell survey in any way in creating these documents?
A. Not in creating them, no.
Q. Okay. Did you -- did all of your sources at least originally come from AECOM with the exception of, I believe, there were a couple of figures -- well, let's just talk about on these two figures.

[^63]
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206-22 showing?
A. 206-22 shows the outline of the site by, like I said, the four different outlines you can see in different four colors with one aerial image. It also has a grid, which is actually the latitude and longitude grid of the area. And it also has some boring locations as well as the outline of the sites just like three of the parcel 0393.
Q. Okay. And this Exhibit 206, you've seen this whole entire exhibit before; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this was in response to Mr. Gobelman's initial report, is that correct?
A. One of them, yes.
Q. All right.
A. Yes, it was an initial one, correct.
Q. And so what are you trying to show here on 206-22 and 206-24?
A. I'm showing the differences between our -- the sites, the boundaries.
Q. Okay. And what boundary is

Mr. Gobelman's boundary?
A. Mr. Gobelman's is the yellow one.
Q. Okay. And what is your boundary?


[^64]
## we see in the corners?

A. Those are the lat and longitude of the corners of the lot.
Q. And do those match up with your drawings?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. And what are the numbers along the bottom here that are sort of vertical?
A. Oh, those are the -- I see, I'm sorry. Those are actually the lat and long ones, ones that are going up, and it says E like one and one, 22, 300.
Q. Yeah.
A. Those are the lat and long, which actually if you look at the corner ones, you can then assess where that's located.
Q. Okay. And how do you use those with auto CAD?
A. When you create the drawing in auto CAD, you geo locate it, which is actually finding these coordinates in it, which is linked to the -- well, one of the add-ins. It's then linked to actually where the earth coordinates are, and it's all build into the software itself. And so you would say, Okay, I would want to find that corner of -- you
know, that's the northeast corner of it, you would type in those coordinates and say, okay, you laid it out like this and so, therefore, it's already set into the software itself, so you can actually find it. So if you want to find one, you can just type in those coordinates and it will automatically take you there in auto CAD. Just like you would on Google Earth, you put in those coordinates, boom, you're in the same spot.
Q. And how long have you been working on this matter? You said about five years?
A. Five years.
Q. Okay. So since 2015?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know when the matter began?
A. I'm guessing about 2015 but probably a little before that.
Q. It was 2013, for the record.

I'd like to mark -- let's go to 208 if
you don't mind. And have you seen this document before?
A. I have.
Q. Okay. If you can turn to 208-9 and 208-11. And what are 209-8 and 209-11 --

[^65]A. These are the --
Q. I'm sorry, 208 -- yeah, 208-9 and 208-11.
A. This is the site layout showing the boundaries that AECOM and WCG produced, which is in yellow, compared to Gobelman's two different versions that they had done in 2018.
Q. Okay. So back up. What do you mean by two different versions?
A. Well, in August of 2018, they had the red boundaries, and then for some reason they changed it when they did the November 2018 version, and so that has both theirs. The November one is in blue. The August one is in red. And you can see the differences of which they've moved and changed.
Q. Okay. And the yellow is Mr. Dorgan and AECOM, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you verified that?
A. Yes.
Q. Looking at Mr. Gobelman's blue and Mr. Dorgan AECOM's yellow, is the Waukegan waterline in the same place?
A. No, it is not. In fact, when they moved from Gobelman's 2018, August 2018, to their
\[
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November, they actually moved the waterline.
Q. Okay. And how about the northern boundary of Site 3, and can you explain where that is on the map?
A. That would be along the northern road, which is Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay.
A. The August 2018 version is much closer to the actual roadway itself, the red dash line you'll see. And then when they did the November 2018, they lowered it approximately ten, 12 feet -- you couldn't tell on this map -- to even lower than you see AECOM's yellow line.
Q. Okay. And how about the northeast excavation, how did these three compare?
A. That's moved as well. They moved that -the initial one that's in the red, that's south and slightly east to the blue location while WCG's stayed the same.
Q. Okay. And then on 208-11, I think we've got a close-up of that; is that correct?
A. That is correct. It is the same thing, it's just blown up so you can see it a little more clearly.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

Q. Okay. What happened in Mr. Gobelman's report with respect to the Site 3 borings between his first report and his second report?
A. They looked -- they changed as well, which is also a bit like south and southeast from there that are --
Q. Okay. And that's with respect to the B borings?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. What about the 1 S through 7 S on here that you can see, what happened with those?
A. Those didn't seem to change much. They shifted a little bit, but not much, so those things didn't move.
Q. Okay. Look at 4S though.
A. Yes.
Q. 4 S and 5 S , how do they compare to Mr. Gobelman's and AECOM's though?
A. Those are in different locations. So you have like 1 S is relatively to close to what AECOM had. And then the $2 S$ and then so forth when you go more easterly, it actually ends up shifting away.
Q. If you could go to 229-365, please. And what is this document?

[^66]A. This is another comparison between AECOM, WCG, as well as Gobelman's from their November 2018 boundaries.
Q. Okay.
A. This also includes the utility layouts as well as their corridors.
Q. Okay. I just want to focus on one thing, and I realize this is hard to see, so I'd like for you to explain it. Can you tell me the differences with the North Shore Gas line and then -- and tie it to which report you're talking about?
A. Okay.

MR. GRANT: Can you identify for me where the North Shore Gas line is on the property?

MS. BRICE: The North Shore Gas line is the one that goes diagonal on this map.

MR. GRANT: All right. Thank you.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. It goes from the west and then it goes northeast -BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Correct.
A. -- on the top from this, yes.
Q. They are actually -- they do not line up

[^67]between AECOM's, WCG's, as well as Gobelman's. Gobelman's is set a little bit further north of what WCG AECOM's is located at. If you look at that line itself, you can see that the darker blue is not shaded by a lighter blue, which indicates that it is actually further north.
A. Okay. Thanks.
Q. If you could turn to 205 again, and I'd like to go to 45, 205-45. Have you seen this map before?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. In what context?
A. In review of this proceeding probably even back in previous years. I can't say when the first time $I$ saw it though.
Q. Did you use this map in any way to generate your figures?
A. Oh, no, no, not at all.
Q. I'm sorry, you talked over me, so they can't hear you.
A. No, I did not.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, first off, it's a draft, so it wouldn't be any kind of reliablity because it

[^68]wouldn't be any kind of final document. It appears to have been scanned in once, twice or so, so the actual factor of it is going to be slightly skewed. It doesn't have any reliable scale to it. The scale on there doesn't appear to be correct.
Q. And how do you know the scale is not correct?
A. You can actually take that as a measurement. You're going to say that the reference scale that you see on the bottom right corner will actually have that, and then they actually have a measurement that is 50 feet between those borings, and it's actually measured, so you can see that it looks like it's been slightly stretched.

## Q. And does that sometimes happen with

 copying?A. It does, yes. Copying, as well as when you're scanning, because the machines themselves are going to pull the paper, which actually can end up inhibiting the product you get.
Q. And what is your understanding of how Mr. Gobelman used this map?
A. From my understanding, he used this to lay out borings.
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Q. Which borings? Site 3 borings?
A. The Site 3 borings.
Q. And what was -- other than it being draft and to scale, is there anything else wrong with this map for using it to plot site borings?
A. Well, I wouldn't -- it doesn't have any, you know, reference of its --
Q. Does it have northings and eastings?
A. No, there is -- it's just -- has a north arrow, but it doesn't let you know where it is. It doesn't even have any kind of reference onto like the west or east side that it's going to be -- where is it along Greenwood Avenue?
Q. Okay. Do you see state plane coordinates or do you have GPS locations on here at all?
A. None.
Q. And would that be useful information to have?
A. Sure.
Q. 205-46, next page, what is this document?
A. Document from AECOM's plans, and it shows Greenwood Avenue's proposed excavation areas.
Q. I'm going to represent to you that this came from revision two of the remedial action work

[^69]plan, not the final work plan. Did you use this map to generate any of your maps?
A. I didn't need to. I had AECOM's overall site. I do know that this does show the Site 3 that's up on the top left area and the northwest excavation area, which is that pink patched area.
Q. But you didn't use this map, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Let's go to 67 in your binder, and I'm going to turn to 67-536. Do you see this?

MR. GRANT: What page?
MS. BRICE: 67-536.
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And then at the same time, if you can turn back to 208-11, and I believe you referenced this Document 208-11. Can you, for the record, explain where you referenced this Document 67 --
A. Okay.
Q. -- 536?
A. From the 67-536, you'll notice that the Site 3 is up in the upper right-hand corner noted, as well as the northwest excavation --
Q. Wait, wait, wait. Which one are you on?
A. On 67-536.
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Q. No, no, no. I want you to go to 208-11, sorry.
A. Oh, okay.
Q. 208-11, if you go toward the upper
left-hand area, it says excavation tested samples 1 S through 9S per AECOM doc 67-536 excavation coordinates of Site 6.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So how did you use 66-536 to plot these boring locations?
A. Okay. Using as noted in the document number, if you look at that document itself, it actually has excavation limits that are on there.
Q. Okay.
A. Now, on that 67-536, if we look up at the north right above the left corner, where we have the site boundary three, you'll see little tiny numbers that are along the excavation that is in Site 6 of Greenwood Avenue.
Q. Okay. So how would you use -- so I see number 33, for example; right?
A. Yes.
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Q. At around like 9S --
A. Yes.
Q. -- right?
A. 33, 34. Then if you go more left, you'll see $32,31$.
Q. Okay. So then you can use -- and then even there are sort of like I see a three over three on -- right by 2 N , there's three; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And then there's a one and there's a 32 down on 1s --
A. Correct.
Q. -- is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So let's go to 32.

MS. BRICE: And can you blow that up -- can you blow that up, Drew. Blow up the bottom picture on the right, bottom down there, the coordinate table.

BY MS. BRICE:
Q. Okay. So you see you've got 32 there, right? Where is 32 ? 32 . Okay. What's that's giving you?
A. Those are the coordinates which are the
left line, as we had discussed before, which is on the grid, that is on our plan, as well as the previous plans that we had talked about. That coordinate grid will actually let you know where that location of 32 is. So on 32 , which is along the property boundary of Site 3 and Site 6, aren't -- that's already noted, so you can actually find it. It's coordinated, it's linked. And then you can look up 31, you can actually map out that whole box of the excavation that is within Greenwood Avenue Site 6.
Q. Okay. So you used these -- so you used these latitudes and longitudes to confirm your feature locations, is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
A. And that's what's noted on 208-11.
Q. Right. And 67, that this is 67-536, but the 205-40 -- 46, I believe it is, that we were looking at, does not have those lats and longs on it, does it?
A. No, it does not. It looks like it's very similar, but it is not. It does not have those -that coordinate table and actually locating all that
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information.
Q. Okay. I'm also at the very back, there's a Document 21A-26. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think it's also been labeled -it's been relabeled as 21A26 dash one -- okay. It's been relabeled 21A-26A. Okay?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is this the one Ms. Gale gave us?

MS. BRICE: Correct.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. BY MS. BRICE:
Q. And did you -- what did you -- what is this, and what did you do to it?
A. This is just a snapshot of IDOT's documents. And I just narrowed it down to this area that involves the Site 6 and Site 3 area on their drawing, and all I did was add actual elevation notes that are there just for clarity.
Q. Okay. Because it's not -- because it's a bit hard to see --
A. It is.
Q. -- is that right?
A. It's from 1970 something, so, yes, it's a
hand-drawn document that's been, yeah, documented a long time ago, so the clarity isn't as crisp as you can get these documents.
Q. Okay. And then I'd like to go back through 205 again. And I'd like to look at the 205-29, which is Gobelman figure eight. And it says that that area that is hatched, correct, is 0.20 acres? Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you know if that's accurate?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Okay. You haven't looked at that?
A. I've seen it before. I don't know if it's accurate. I may have checked it, but I really couldn't tell you.
Q. Okay. Are all the figures you referred from Mr. Gobelman that we just discussed typically relied upon by environmental experts?
A. Yes.
Q. And is CAD a reliable method to generate such figures if he's providing them?
A. Yes.

MS. BRICE: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. IDOT?
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BY MR. GRANT:
Q. Ms. Dunton, I'm Chris Grant. And we've met, but I represent IDOT. I want to ask you to turn to Exhibit 206. It's 206-22. I think you testified that -- or you testified that the boundaries don't line up between -- and were there three or four?
A. There's four here.
Q. There's four, four surveys there. And the one that seems skewed the most is the blue one. Is that the Atwell survey?
A. Correct, that is.
Q. Okay. Could you rely upon this diagram to accurately locate someplace on the site?
A. You would have to verify which boundary 1 S is.
Q. Right. So basically you need an accurate map is what you're looking for, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever -- this is -- hold on a second, please.

MR. GRANT: This is actually from Mike Nguyen's binder.
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MS. BRICE: Can you tell me a number, please?
MR. GRANT: I will, yeah. It's 205-42. It's
the one with the black in the middle lines.
MS. BRICE: Okay.
BY MR. GRANT:
Q. I'd like you to take a look at this, 205-42. So does this appear to be a hand drawing?
A. It could be. I didn't produce it, so I have no idea what their baseline is.
Q. Okay. Did you ever see this before?
A. I have seen it before.
Q. Okay. Did you review -- you reviewed Mr. Gobelman's expert report? I'll represent for you that this was part of his report.
A. I have seen this, and I've seen an excerpt of it.
Q. Okay. It's similar to the document you prepared, 206-22, and that we've got several surveys or several --
A. Boundaries.
Q. -- representations of the site that don't line up?
A. Correct.
Q. Are you aware that Mr. Gobelman made a
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new map to correct the problem that's represented by this diagram I just handed you?
A. Which date?
Q. Oh, no. Just the fact of that he made a new map to correct that same issue of the boundaries not lining up?

MS. BRICE: Pardon me?
MR. GRANT: It's going to be his base map --
MS. BRICE: I'm sorry, I apologize.
MR. GRANT: No, that's fine. Go ahead.
MS. BRICE: I'm just trying to help. Maybe if you will show her what document it is, Mike, that might help, the exhibit number.

MR. GRANT: Yeah, I don't have it.
MS. BRICE: 207. It's the supplemental report from Mr. Gobelman. And then 208 is our response to that.

MR. GRANT: Okay. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. And the map that I'm talking about that was created is 207-13, essentially the base map -showing you what's 207-13, are you aware that this map was created in response to the boundary problems that are shown in 206-22 and also the document
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## binder?

A. One second, I will confirm.

MS. BRICE: Do you have the date on that?
MR. GRANT: The date is August 2018.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. No, it's not actually, because all theirs are August 2018. They didn't bother updating it when they updated it in November. So it's harder to tell which ones were done when. But judging by where that line is in relation to some of the soils of the grasses, $I$ can tell which line that was for. BY MR. GRANT:
Q. So you're saying this is the older one?
A. That mine is from the November one.
Q. The one that is --
A. The one from August from the north property closer to the Greenwood Avenue, that one is further south, so that one actually came later.
Q. This --
A. That's the November one.
Q. Okay. Well, thank you.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Getting back to, $I$ guess, my question:

Are you aware that they made a new map in response
to the boundary problems that's shown in this map that doesn't have any details on it, the three boundary lines?
A. Well, since I don't know if this was the November one or the August one --
Q. Oh, no, not --
A. -- I can't confirm.
Q. No, a new map was made. Are you aware that a new map was made?
A. Well, like I said, I'm not sure which one -- what day this one was made.
Q. But you said that you've seen it?
A. I've seen it.
Q. Do you remember what it is -- you know, in what context you saw it?
A. Well, since I've seen lots of these maps that I've been comparing ours with what Gobelman is representing for the past year-and-a-half, I really couldn't discern which one -- time that I saw this one versus another one.
Q. So you don't remember when you saw it?
A. No. I have seen it though.
Q. Okay. I'm going to have you turn to in your binder 205-45. You said that you think it --

[^72]you thought it appeared like it was copied or scanned?
A. Correct.
Q. Both?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You don't know if it was scanned, do you?
A. At one time it was, because it turned into a PDF format that we received, so it had to have been scanned sometime.
Q. But as far as the document that's in front of you, you don't know if that was scanned? You don't have any personal knowledge --
A. No, I don't, because $I$ did not scan it myself.
Q. Correct. And the same with being copied, you don't know if the document that was used was actually copied?
A. Correct. I can just tell by the quality of it.
Q. I was a little when you -- when Ms. Brice asked you about the AECOM information, you said that, I think, that you currently have the AECOM information in your auto CAD; is that correct?

[^73]

[^74]system?
A. Right. Yeah, you have to be careful with scanned.
Q. I see.
A. We have like documents, and yes, I had it into auto CAD and then drew it up from that, because you can actually use those documents.
Q. So the details in there with the roads were added by Weaver Consultants?
A. Per IDOT's --
Q. Right. Using a base map that was this IDOT map, is that correct?
A. Yes, it's IDOT's map.
Q. One sec. Did you provide an AECOM CAD file after October 2018? And when I say provide, I mean provide it to IDOT.
A. Yes, we sent them auto CAD drawings.
Q. Okay. Does that time frame make sense?
A. About.
Q. Okay. So October 2018, fall of 2018?
A. About that, yeah. I couldn't tell you what day, no.

MR. GRANT: That's all I've got. Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. Brice?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
312-419-9292
\end{gathered}
$$

MS. BRICE: Yes, just one question related to his last question.

REDIRECTION EXAMINATION
BY MS. BRICE:
Q. You don't know for sure when those auto CAD drawings were sent in 2018, do you?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Could it have been 2017?
A. It could have been. It was prior, so I couldn't tell you when.
Q. Okay. So you don't know when those

## documents were sent?

A. Correct.
Q. Okay. No further questions.

That auto CAD files were sent. Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dunton. I think you're finished for a while anyway. Thank you.

MS. BRICE: Mr. Halloran, we would like to move our exhibits into evidence. As you know, previously filed before the Board, we agreed to authenticate to authentication, foundation and admissibility. Apparently there's now some issue with respect to admissibility from the other side.

[^75]I'm not sure what that is. There were two or three exhibits which we had issues with, one of which was any maps or figures created by Mr. Gobelman and Mr. Nguyen and ELM -- thank you.

Exhibit 57, which I don't actually think that we talked about, an ELM figure 13, which is 205-45, I believe that we've talked about, the one that has all of the soil borings on it, the draft and that.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm fine with that.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know what, let's go off the transcript for a little bit, and then we can come back on and talk about the exhibits.
(WHEREUPON, a discussion was had off the record.)

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: We're taking a 15-minute break.
(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: So I assume then JM will rest their case in chief. What's on your agenda?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: So we'll be calling Mr. Gobelman.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: All right. We're back on the record. We just took a little break for logistics regarding the exhibits. And, Ms. Gale --

MS. GALE: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Would you like to read the agreed exhibits into the record.

MS. GALE: Yes, I would. So the agreed exhibits for the second phase of the hearing, understanding that the exhibits that were entered in the first hearing are already admitted, I will just repeat the ones simply for clarity's sake what we have used for this hearing.

Mr. Hearing Officer, do you just want me to read the numbers, or shall I also read the description?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: For now, just read the numbers, and we might do it differently later, but numbers are great and we'll give them.

MS. GALE: Very good. Exhibit 21A, 65, 67, 79, 84, 120, 202, 203, 204, 206, 208, 209, 213, 214, 221, 225, 227, 229E-374, 229E-375, 229F-365, 229F-377, and then Exhibit 21A-26A and Exhibit 204-41A and Exhibit 245.

The final three exhibits were new

[^76]exhibits that are not on the stipulated list filed with the Board, and they are in the room and will be handed to the Hearing Officer.

MS. BRICE: One second. Are those
demonstratives?
MS. GALE: Two are -- okay. I'll go again. So Exhibit 21A-26A is what was described as the modified version of the historic IDOT diagram. 204-41A is a demonstrative of

Mr. Dorgan's figure that was located on 204-41 that he used and drew upon during his testimony.

Exhibit 245 is another demonstrative entitled task buckets used as inputs by both experts to determine oversight and support services task bucket attributions.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. And as Ms. Gale said, all the exhibits admitted in the first hearing will also be admitted here by agreement as incorporated in this record. Thank you.

Now, Johns Manville, I understand, is resting in their case in chief?

MS. BRICE: Yes, that is the case,
Mr. Halloran.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you,
Ms. Brice. Ms. O'Laughlin --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: -- from the
Illinois Department of Transportation, you're on. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. Thank you -HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- Mr. Halloran.

Now, for our first witness, we call
Steven Gobelman.
MS. BRICE: And, your Honor, just for the record, we'd like to lodge our objection. As you said, we would keep -- keep record of it as we go that we are still maintaining our objection as to Mr. Gobelman's expertise and as an expert witness here his qualifications and his maps and figures, documents that he generated and everything laid out in our motion in limine as to Mr. Gobelman --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. And those are the ones I addressed in the October 31, 2019, order, and the Board -- pardon me, June 18, 2020, the record will submit. Thank you.

MS. BRICE: Thank you, sir.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Mr. Gobelman, if
you'd raise your right hand. The court reporter will swear you in, please.
(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)

STEVEN GOBELMAN,
called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr . Gobelman. Can you please state your name and position?
A. Steve Gobelman. And I'm currently the Springfield office director at Andrews Engineering.
Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about your qualifications. Now, you've testified to this matter before, is that true?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So maybe we'll highlight your qualifications. I'd first like to direct you to Exhibit 205.
A. Okay.
Q. What is Exhibit 205?
A. It's my expert rebuttal report rebutting damages attributed to IDOT based on the Illinois

Pollution Control Board Order of September 15, 2016 dated August 22 nd of 2019.
Q. And describe your education.
A. I have a bachelor's and a master's degree in electrical engineering.
Q. And do you have an engineering certification?
A. I do. I'm licensed currently in Illinois and Indiana as a professional engineer, and I'm licensed in Illinois as a professional geologist.
Q. And how long have you held those?
A. I've been a licensed engineer since 1993. And I've been a licensed professional geologist -- I forget what year, but it was the year that it was created in Illinois.
Q. And describe your work experience, your professional career experience.
A. I worked eight years with Illinois EPA dealing with land permits, RCRA closures. I spent a number of years doing state-funded cleanups. I also oversaw hundreds of different voluntary cleanups that they recalled at the time. Then I've --
Q. Is that site remediation projects?
A. Yes, site remediation. And then I left
the EPA and went to Illinois Department of Transportation. I worked there for approximately 22 years dealing with the phase one and phase two investigations along all state right-of-ways within the state investigating soil impacts along our IDOT's right-of-ways and their ongoing impact.

## Q. And what is involved in evaluating

 highway authority agreements and IDOT right-of-ways?A. Well, highway authority agreements would've been a part of my role at DOT, which we -in essence, if the property owner who had a lease or a contamination on site that impacted state right-of-way, instead of being forced to remediate and clean up within IDOT's right-of-way, Illinois EPA allowed for them to have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to leave contamination in place. And what the DOT then on top of that agreement was to require that property owner to be -- to reimburse them for any past or future costs associated with the department's or the state's cleanup of that regarding any construction projects.
Q. And what experience do you have with remediation projects?
A. Like I said, when $I$ was with EPA, I
oversaw a number of state-funded cleanups, including a $\$ 12,000,000$ mobile incinerator that cleaned up some sites in lake cal -- not lake Calumet but in the south Chicago area. And then with IDOT, I focused on a number of cleanups, remediations that involved IDOT projects or properties that IDOT undertook that had to be cleaned up prior to construction. And then when I left the state in --
Q. Well, before leaving the state, approximately how many remediation projects were you -- did you become familiar with?
A. I would say hundreds of remediation projects between the state -- between both the state employments.
Q. With the Illinois EPA and with

## Illinois --

A. With DOT.
Q. -- Department of Transportation?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And then you left Illinois Department of Transportation?
A. Yeah, I left Department of Transportation in 2015 and started work with Andrews Engineering.
Q. Okay. And what are your responsibilities

## with Andrews Engineering?

A. Initially $I$ was one of the project manager or a project director in charge of a number of different projects. I'm currently now the office director of Springfield. But my role is mainly as a project director role for remediations that Andrews is involved with, whether it's underground storage tanks or it's sites that are involved with state remediation working with EPA or whether it's involved with work that we have under contract with the Department of Transportation and doing their investigations along roadways.
Q. Are there any other certifications or education that you have obtained or received?
A. I don't have necessarily other certifications, but $I$ have additional continuing education. I figured early that professional engineering requires certain continuing education, but even when $I$ was at EPA, $I$ took continuing education classes for them regarding certain liability assessments with DOT. I dealt with earth moving quantities, surveying in land acquisitions, you know, IDOT financing, so I took pretty much all the, in essence, continuing education requirements
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with IDOT. They called them program development that they had when I was there.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Mr. Halloran, is this still being recorded?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, it started out this morning recording.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. I just wanted to make sure given the challenges with WebEx. Okay. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, it did go off. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. So does that pretty much cover your experience and education?
A. Yeah, for the most part, yeah.
Q. Okay. And what have you been tasked to do for this hearing following the entry of the interim order of December 2016?
A. I was tasked with, in essence, developing what IDOT's liability -- cost liability is associated with the Board's rulings.
Q. Okay. And what was one of the -- was one of the first things you did was to create a base map?

[^77]A. Well, one of the first things I realized basically what was done in the first grouping of hearings was that either they -- a base map or a map that $I$ could rely on in regards to creating -determining the liability to IDOT based upon the utilities that are going to be associated within that area and report that would be done. In essence, the first maps that we had were all PDF maps that we got from Mr. Dorgan, and we just sort of PDF'd them and put them into CAD so that we could add to the map and not really do anything else with them. I needed to have something that was sort of locked in to the site itself.
Q. So if I could direct your attention to 205-6.
A. Okay.
Q. If you could read the first paragraph of your -- after 5.1 and describe why you had to do what you did.
A. So as it states here, it says, As stated by the Pollution Control Board's opinion and order, IDOT caused open dumping of ACM waste along the south side of Greenwood Avenue within Site 6, 1S, group 4S, and adjacent area along the north side,
north edge of Site 3, B3-25, B3-16 and B3-15.
Additionally, IDOT allowed open dumping of parcel -on parcel 0393, B3-25, B3-15, B3-16, B3-15 and B3-50 to the extent sample B3-50 falls on parcel 0393.
Q. Okay. And to map this out, if you could describe the process that you went through. I'd also direct you to 207-29. No, no, no, I'm sorry. Your source material for 205-45.
A. 205?
Q. 45 .

MS. BRICE: Just objection for the record. This is one of the documents that was not a figure created by Mr. Gobelman that we objected to with respect to admissibility.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: And I'm going to object to her objection. It's not an objection to the direct testimony -- it's my examination of Mr. Gobelman. It's just an opportunity for her to put her narrative argument into the record, and it's entirely inappropriate.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't think the --

MS. BRICE: Objection, lack of foundation as to the document. You haven't laid a foundation.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I am trying to.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. The record so notes both your comments. Thank you. You may proceed.

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So, Mr. Gobelman, turning to 205-45. And to refresh your recollection, you can look at the documents from 205-45 through 205-50. And this is Appendix D to your report. So what did you do, and why did you create a map?
A. Well, like I said, I needed to come up with a map that was sort of locked in spatially to the site limits. And so based upon Mr. Dorgan's report, he provided us with an Atwell survey. So I made the assumption that the Atwell survey was a true and accurate representation of the site because it gave me northering and eastering locations that were sort of outside of the area but at least was provided that they used as part of their survey. So I had our -- so I gave that PDF to our --
Q. Okay. You can -- and Mr. Dorgan's report, which is 204, can you identify the Atwell survey?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Where are we, Ms.

[^78]O'Laughlin?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I'm asking Mr. Gobelman to direct us to at the Atwell survey that he just referenced.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. It's Appendix G, 204-112. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. Describe what this document is.
A. It's a plat topographic survey of the area that includes Site 3 and Greenwood Avenue from Pershing Road to some part way east of this area along Greenwood Avenue.
Q. And what information is contained in this survey that would be helpful in creating your base map?
A. Well, it provided northering and easterings along this area and matched out that the -- where the northering and eastering crosses that they're giving is a point that we can then have our CAD person, they can geospatially locate in CAD instead of it being a PDF that's just out there floating, it's locked down into a system into a site.
Q. So these contain the actual points of
reference that begins the basis of CAD? And can you please excuse me if my question is not proper, because I've learned a lot about CAD over the past two months.
A. I am too not an expert at CAD. It at least allows us to spatially lock in a point in that we can then lay in the PDF so that it is -- gets scaled appropriately along those northering and easterings.
Q. And what was the date of the Atwell survey?
A. $7 / 22$ of '13.
Q. Did you use any other information or --
A. I'm sorry, this one is -- it had a revision date that $I$ can't -- it looks like August 23 rd of ' 17.

MR. GRANT: Can I give him a magnifying glass?

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yes, you may. BY THE WITNESS:
A. June $23 r d$ of '17. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. And where did you get this

## document?
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corners to see how they matched up with the Atwell survey, assuming that $I$ would assume that they would have been exact to the same scales as what was in the Atwell.

## Q. Okay.

A. And I found that those were -- they were off whatever, was a scaling issue or something, but that those coordinates and the two layouts didn't lay out together. So then I took Mr. Dorgan's map that he provided in his report and put it in -- gave it to our CAD person. And since it wasn't -couldn't be geo locked to the site, I had him lock it in based upon a common corner, a portion of the site to say, okay, if it's locked here, how does it line up for the rest of the site? And I think I used the westering side or maybe even the southwest corner -- I can't remember right now for sure how I locked it in -- and then I laid it out. And I found that those three lines gave me three different -different layouts of Site 3.

## Q. Okay.

A. So I wasn't confident enough of which one was the most accurate one, so I decided to proceed with, in essence, creating my own base map of a site
utilizing sort of known information that I could gather from various reports and in scaling and offsetting based on measurements boring locations and creating a base map that I could accurately then assess allocations of the four regarding IDOT.
Q. Okay. And is that -- did you do any -did you use any other information to create your overall base map? We'll talk about Site 3 and Site 6 and utilities in a second. But just the overall map, did you use any other information?
A. Well, I used -- I used the ELM report to spatially grid out the locations of the borings that the Board assessed as IDOT's responsibility.
Q. And can you direct us to the ELM report?
A. That's the 205-45.
Q. Okay.

MS. BRICE: Objection, lack of foundation.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I'm sorry,
Ms. Brice?
MS. BRICE: Lack of foundation, Mr. Halloran.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Let me try and establish it. Let me try and lay a foundation.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
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BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So this 205-45, where did you obtain
this?
A. It was --
Q. You mentioned ELM report?
A. Right.
Q. So was the ELM report used during the
first phase of this hearing?
A. Yes.
Q. And I can -- here, let's grab it, Exhibit 57.

MS. BRICE: Mr. Halloran, I believe it was not admitted as an exhibit in the first hearing, but I could be wrong. I have to check that.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well, it is an -- it is part of the exhibit list for --

MS. BRICE: It was admitted?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- and it is part of the exhibit list that we filed for this portion, so.

MS. BRICE: Okay. But we did object to it with respect to lack of foundation on this.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yeah, I'm a little at a disadvantage. Was exhibit -- are we talking about Exhibit 57 or --

[^79]MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. And that was admitted over objection or what? I see Ms. Gale had a list. That wasn't the list that you showed me or read into the record?

MS. BRICE: But I do remember objecting on it, but I'm not exactly -- I'd have to go back through the records, your Honor, I'm sorry, to just figure it out. And obviously our objections at that time were different because it's being used in a different way in this hearing. But there were at that time objections to portions of the record in Exhibit 57 during those hearings, but now there's other portions of it being used, so --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You sufficiently lost me on -- yeah, $I$ was thinking all of this would be worked out considering the first hearing we had problems. But what is Exhibit 57? And it was not admitted in the first hearing?

MS. BRICE: It says on the list here that it was admitted, but $I$ think we objected to it.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Well, then objection overruled. It's admitted.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well, we'll move it in for
evidence then at the close of our -- but I'm trying
to lay a foundation for 205-45, the exhibit that you relied upon.

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Is this where you -- and this ELM report marked as Exhibit 57, is this the report that you obtained 205-45 from?
A. Give me a minute, $I$ have to find it. Yes.
Q. And did you find the map in Exhibit 57? I thought you just had it. If you don't, that's fine, but you have it in front of you, I'm going to refer to the record.
A. Yes, it's Exhibit 57-540.
Q. Okay. And why did you look to the ELM report to get these soil borings, is that what this is?
A. Let me make sure it's the right one.
Q. Okay. So is it --
A. It is. 57-536.
Q. 536 .

MS. BRICE: Also Bates No. JM 565.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Let's hold on a minute. Where are we, Ms. O'Laughlin? This is
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getting --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Well, it's because they're objecting to -- I'm trying to lay a foundation for him to be able to use this --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Is two --
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: -- and it's in his --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: 205-45?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Right. So I'm just letting him know about where this map came from.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: What's
Mr. Gobelman looking at now?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: He's looking at the original source of the report that 205-45 came from. So counsel objected to foundation, so I'm trying to lay a foundation to show where Mr. Gobelman obtained the map that he relied upon in creating his base map.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Okay. But I've got 205-45 in front of me, and you're going back to try to use some other --

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No, just to establish a foundation to establish where 205-45 came from.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Where is that?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It's Exhibit 57.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. And I don't have that, right?

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: I don't know if you have it. You should have a copy of all of them.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: You know, you can proceed, because, again, my understanding is it was admitted in the first hearing, so there's just a lot of exhibits on there.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Absolutely.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Proceed.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you, your Honor. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. So tell me about 205-45. Why did you use this figure 15 soil boring location map Site 3 ?
A. It gave me the layout of the borings in the northern part of Site 3 that the Board used in their assessment of liability toward IDOT.
Q. Okay. And did you give that to your CAD person?
A. I gave them -- in essence, I used this to scale off the -- how far south those borings were to the northern edge of Site 3 and then spatially east-west associated with that.
Q. Okay. Did you use any other information
in creating your base map?
A. I utilized 205-46.
Q. And what is 205-46?
A. It is a figure that AECOM created. It's from their final work plan, I believe, which --

MS. BRICE: Objection, mischaracterizes the document.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: What's the document from?
MS. BRICE: From the final work plan. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. From the final -- is it from the final report?

MS. BRICE: No.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. No. Did I say final report?

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. No, so the --

MS. BRICE: Final work plan.
BY THE WITNESS:
A. Oh.

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Would it be referenced in your report? If you could turn to 205-8.
A. Well, the bottom of 205-7, I say that
this total boring -- total engagement was $1 S$ through 9s, the one south of Site 6 were marked based on AECOM's work plan revision two March 2013, 14C-0022.
Q. Okay. So where did this document then come from?
A. It was AECOM's work plan revision two.
Q. And why was this useful to you?
A. It gave me some distancing and spacing between the western edge of Site 6 to 9 S . It gave me some distances between, you know, other Site 6 to site -- I mean boring location 6 S to 8 S and distances from the western edge of Site 6 to Site 3. And it gave me the scale to sort of scale out within those -- the locations of the southern borings from 1S to 9S, to locate those boring locations on Site 6 in an east-west direction.
Q. Okay. So this was for the Site 6 --
A. Boring that -- it was before the -- to locate the borings that were -- that the Board ruled were attributed to IDOT, and it also include the borings that Mr . Dorgan used in his report and then it also include sites 9 S just as a reference that we used for measurement.
Q. Okay. And on this Document 205-46, you
can see on the top left, $1 S, 2 S, 3 S, 4 S$; is that right? Do you see on the top left on the south side of Site 6?
A. Yes.
Q. And then this is continuous and -- this is continuous, and it shows all the way -- the second bar up to 56 S and 56 M ?
A. Correct.
Q. What is the distance between an $S, 1 S$ and $2 S$ or $2 S$ and $3 S$, if you know?
A. This figure doesn't show it, but I scaled off and I believe utilized in my drawing, I came up with a spacing of 45 feet between $1 S$ and $2 S$.
Q. Okay. In your report, on page 205-7, you said in the third from the last paragraph --
A. Okay.
Q. -- Mr. Dorgan does not explain in his report how he developed figure one. Do you see where you say that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain that, what you mean by that?
A. Well, there wasn't anything that I found in his report how he created the -- in essence, his
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base map and that. It just provided us the map and, you know, and stated this is the base map.
Q. Okay. Did you rely on any other information in creating your base map?
A. I utilized 205-49 and -- for the locations of the utilities and able to keep utilities.
Q. Okay. And 205-49, what is the -- some of these exhibit numbers are -- they have holes in them. What is the name of the document that you're referring to?
A. This is the active underground utilities, clean corridor and engineered barrier --
Q. Okay.
A. -- dated October of 2017.
Q. And this document shows the gas line and some of the other utilities?
A. Shows the two gas lines, the City of Waukegan waterline, the location of what -- of -it's -- in here, it's called stormwater, but it's called -- future reference, it's called the ramp. That's the only utilities that shows on this.
Q. Okay. What is the source of this document?
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[^80]decision that we would use the -- since we had a good Google Earth map of the existing site, to utilize -- once everything was weighed in, to utilize the fence line that was put in place as the location of Site 3, because there was nothing that spatially could be put together that came up with an accurate map that could say one line was more accurate than another line. So I made the assumption that if I had then just utilized the site, an existing site as it was laid out, and that when they did the final report, they fenced in Site 3, because they wouldn't fence in to the north beyond that, because that would be encroaching on transportation right-of-way, that the northern line would be set by that fence line, that that would be right on the -- or that maybe something that -- that would be the property line of the property. And that it had seemed that for the most part the other boundaries, you know -- you know, maybe the average was basically that fence line. So I utilized that as the -- as the base of this map, the original, the first map.
Q. And if you could turn to Exhibit 205-22.
A. Yes.

[^81]Q. Is this the map that you initially generated?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this contains the information that you just described?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, at some point, you, I don't know, corrected some things? Do you want to describe that process?
A. Yeah, unfortunately. In Mr. Dorgan's rebuttal to mine, he noted in the report that $I$ was incorrect in my layout of 0393.
Q. I'm sorry, in your what?
A. That he stated in his report that my layout location of right-of-way 0393 was incorrect. And I was taken aback by that, because we utilized the land -- the legal description associated with it.

## Q. Okay.

A. So I had my CAD person redo it, and he confirmed that we were incorrect in its layout. And that was caused by a lot of our -- in locking things in, a lot of the information was locked to the northern limit of Site 3. So when I made the
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decision to move the boundary of Site 3 to the fence line, it sort of moved everything, including the right-of-way, I think was approximately 10 feet north. So I contacted the Attorney General's Office and said, Hey, there's a problem with my map.
Q. Okay. Then what did you do?
A. I asked them if I should fix it or not, and they told me that $I$ should fix it and write a supplemental report addressing the fixes associated with that map.
Q. Okay. Before we go further on the map, I want -- I had it right before me, but you created a figure that shows the different boundaries of Site 3, not without the base map in the background, just with the boundaries, or maybe it is the boundaries. It's 207-29.
A. That was after the fix.
Q. Okay. So what is -- actually, let's talk just generally about Exhibit 207. What is Exhibit 207? Not just that particular page, the whole document.
A. It's my supplemental report regarding this rebuttal.
Q. Okay.

[^82]MS. BRICE: Just for the record, same objection we had before with respect to this document.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: With the what?
MS. BRICE: With 207, that that has matched figures and it's the same objection --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Wait, that's the one I've already addressed?

MS. BRICE: Yes, where the --
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. You can have a standing objection.

MS. BRICE: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Thank you. BY THE WITNESS:
A. It's basically taking my original report, redoing the figures with the correct location of Site 3's -- of 039's location and pulling it back down ten feet. Because the boundary of the right-of-way on the north is -- was always laid out as the northering boundary of Site 3, which has always been depicted. So then it -- I went through and sort of corrected the maps that -- the change associated with that and the intent of the areas that the allocations also changed that were
assessed -- that I assessed to IDOT.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay. And did they increase or decrease the allocations to IDOT?
A. My allocations to IDOT increased.
Q. It increased with the corrected map?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Turning to 207-29, can you talk about each of these colored lines and the -- that shows the boundaries of Site 3 or different depictions of the boundaries of Site 3 ?
A. Yeah. The sort of green line depicts the layout of the Site 3 limit to that CQM, the final report from JMOO40322 is laid out as. The blue line is the Atwell survey that I have from Mr. Dorgan's report. The red line is the limit, Site 3 limit, that I got from figure one of Mr. Dorgan's report. And the black dash line is the boundary that I'm utilizing in this report as the Site 3 boundaries.
Q. Okay. And is -- what boundary are you using when you created your figures, figure -Gobelman figures 1 through 8, I believe?

MS. BRICE: What do you mean are you using?
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: 207.

BY THE WITNESS:
A. In the supplemental, I'm using the black dash line that's in 207-29 is what I'm using in 207-13 as my base map for Site 3 and site -- well, and (inaudible) above it --

BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Okay.
A. -- in essence -- in essence, didn't change.
Q. Okay.
A. I mean, the width of it got changed, but it doesn't -- spatially it doesn't -- it didn't change the location of boring locations.
Q. Okay. So what was the -- tell me again, what did -- well, you -- it's in the records, but it shifted your boundary south?
A. In essence, the northern boundary of Site 3 got shifted. I had to move it down, I think, if I remember correctly, approximately ten feet.
Q. And what is the date of your supplemental report, Exhibit 207?
A. It was dated November 7th of 2018.
Q. Okay. At some point following the issuance of this report, you learned of the

[^83]existence of an AECOM CAD file; is that right?
A. Yes, I believe it was after this time, yes.
Q. Okay. I'd just like to represent that that was produced to us -- we learned about it in Mr. Dorgan's second deposition, did not know that that was being used. And so you could not use that AECOM CAD file because you did not know of its existence because we did not give it to you, is that correct?
A. No. I was under the assumption that Mr. Dorgan was creating all this stuff, sort of what I did, as utilizing PDFs and figuring out a way to spatially lock it into the site somehow.
Q. Okay. Have you -- okay. So I -- okay. These are just blowups of the figures from his 207 -- report in 207. So, for instance, this figure one, and this would be 207-13.

Now, this map -- these maps were included as part of your supplemental report dated November 7, 2018?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what is the date of Gobelman figure one?
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| Page 208 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. August of '18. |  |  |
| Q. And why does it -- why is it August of |  |  |
| '18 and not November of '18? |  |  |
| A. I failed to have our CAD person change |  |  |
| that. |  |  |
| Q. But those are the updated figures, is |  |  |
| that right? |  |  |
| A. Correct. |  |  |
| Q. Okay. So to start out with, I want to |  |  |
| have you briefly describe what IDOT's area of |  |  |
| liability is per the Board's order per your report. |  |  |
| MS. BRICE: What are you looking at? |  |  |
| MS. O'LAUGHLIN: It's figure one, 207-13. |  |  |
| BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN: |  |  |
| Q. Is that right? |  |  |
| A. Yes, that's correct, 207-13. Could you |  |  |
| state your question again for me? |  |  |
| Q. Pardon me? |  |  |
| A. Could you repeat the question for me? |  |  |
| Q. Sure. So I want you to -- just briefly |  |  |
| we're going to -- I'm going to ask you about what |  |  |
| IDOT's area of liability following the Board's |  |  |
| interim order is through this map. Okay? |  |  |
| A. Okay. |  |  |

[^84]Q. Actually, let's use Gobelman figure eight to do that, and then we'll go back to each of these. And Gobelman figure eight is 207-20. And all these figures are part of your supplemental report, is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
A. Okay.
Q. On this figure eight, can you describe what IDOT's area of liability is?
A. Well, according to the Board's ruling, IDOT's liability is associated with boring B3-25, B3-36, B3-15, B3-50, B3-50 if it falls within the right-of-way of 0393.
Q. Okay. I'm going to stop you right there just to -- so this is -- looking at this, these are the borings you just listed; is that right?
A. Correct. But I also included B3-26 in this -- in these figures as well.
Q. And is B3-26 listed in the Board's order?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And why did you include B3-26?
A. Because it is the next boring to the west of B3-25 and was a clean -- and according to the
analytical, it was -- did not contain any asbestos, so I was going to utilize it in my calculations of area based upon going to the next cleanest boring.
Q. And that would be?
A. B3-26.
Q. Okay.
A. And then $I$ have in here the Board's ruling of responsibility on Site 6 as $1 S, 2 S, 3 S$, 4S. Then I included 5S, 6S, 7S, 8S because Mr. Dorgan included those in his report, and then I --
Q. Wait, I'm going to slow you down.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. So you included 1S, 2S, 3S and 4S as part of IDOT's area of liability?
A. Correct.
Q. And is that shown here?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And it goes halfway between 4 S and
$5 S$ on your map?
A. In this figure where we were assessing IDOT's financial liability regarding filling and capping, I utilized the area halfway between $4 S$ and 5S as IDOT's liability since 5 S was already -- was
\[
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312-419-9292
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also contaminated with asbestos.
Q. Okay. And then on your maps, you have 5S, 6S, 7S and 8S shown, and why do you have those shown?
A. I have 5 S through 8 S shown because that was what was in Mr. Dorgan's report. And I also have 9 S sort of falling off the side of the map because I utilized it for its scaling reference to the different -- in me creating Site 6.
Q. Okay. Okay. Back to figure one, 207-13. What does figure one show?
A. Figure one shows, in essence, the base map that can be utilized for all the figures following regarding the location of the right-of-way, the location of the borings that will be utilized in Site 3 and the boring locations that will be utilized in Site 6 .
Q. Okay. So just by a little way of background, how did you treat the costs that were set out in Mr. Dorgan's report? I mean, did you question the costs? Did you have -- how did you treat them?
A. I basically decided that I wasn't going to be an account forensics to try to go in detail of
the multiple pages and in number two font of all the data invoicing numbers that were in there, so I made the -- an assumption, which I would -- I would believe would be accurate is that if JM pays the bill, they would have done their own due diligence of making sure that the information and the work was done accurately and they weren't overpaying for the work. So I made the assumption that the numbers that were being put into the task buckets as what was divvied up for Site 3 and Site 6 and Site 3 and 6 was as accurate as one could be.
Q. Okay. What did you think about the task buckets?
A. I thought it was an appropriate way of bidding up the cost associated with the complexity of both sites.
Q. Okay. And did you utilize the task buckets as the same figures and costs from Dorgan's report?
A. I utilized the task bucket process they utilized but asserting my own calculations on assessing liability associated with it and not utilizing how he assessed liability.
Q. Okay. Gobelman figure two, that is

Exhibit 207-14.
A. Okay.
Q. And this is just a blown up version of that Gobelman figure two. And if you could look to the legend, which is on the right of the page --
A. Okay.
Q. -- where it says Nicor location map.
A. Oh, okay. I see what you're talking about.
Q. Right. I just want you to identify with --
A. Yeah, this is the Nicor location map that just lays out the location of the Nicor Gas line as it runs through Site 3 .
Q. Okay. And you created a number of figures to show each utility as opposed to Mr. Dorgan who had the one figure that showed everything?
A. Yeah, for -- for -- you know, for referencing purposes, I thought it was cleaner to create a figure based on each individual utility that's being discussed.
Q. Okay. And on this Gobelman figure two, where is the Nicor --
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[^86]side, what do you call this, the Andrews Engineering? I'm calling it just -- what is it?
A. It's just the figure, figure name.
Q. Okay. Figure name. And what is -- what does Gobelman figure three show?
A. It's the City of Waukegan -- the figure for the -- representing the City of Waukegan waterline location.
Q. Okay. And where is the City of Waukegan's waterline located?
A. It's basically -- in my depiction, sort of runs east-west in or around right-of-way 0393 and then goes north out of Site 3 .
Q. Okay. And did you allocate any of the costs involved with the task bucket city of water -excuse me, City of Waukegan waterline?
A. Yes.
Q. You did?
A. No, sorry, I didn't. Never mind.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah, I didn't assess any IDOT allocation because it didn't -- it didn't cross within the board borings of B3-25 through -- by the way, through the borings east of $B 3-25$ or site -- in

Site 6, 1S to 4S.
Q. And it also -- your map shows B3-26, which was the first clean boring that's referenced?
A. Right.
Q. Now, the City of Waukegan waterline, there was some testimony earlier about it changed locations, they didn't know where it was. Approximately where was the City of Waukegan waterline believed to be during the first hearing time period in 2016?
A. I believe it was roughly about 50 feet south.
Q. Okay. So south of here?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. But still through 0393?
A. Correct. It still went to the west of any of the borings that were liable for.
Q. Okay.

MS. BRICE: Object to the characterization of
the document. I don't know this would've been within our time period.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. That's fine. BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. Some of it would have been in 03 --
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A. South --
Q. -- 93?
A. Yeah, right. It was set to be farther to the south more of the line with outside of 0393 , then I have to --
Q. Okay. But some of it, as it was earlier thought, would have gone through 0393?
A. It crossed through 0393 --
Q. Okay.
A. -- to the -- that it went north.
Q. Okay. And given that, the Board still only referenced these borings, it didn't reference borings -- it didn't reference all 0393 the Board's order, that specific language that you relied upon referenced $\mathrm{B} 3-25$; is that right? That's the furthest west boring on 03 --
A. Yes, I took the order as being the direct borings referenced were the borings referenced in association with 0393.
Q. Okay. And did you allocate any of the City of Waukegan waterline to Site 6 ?
A. No, I did not.
Q. And what did Mr. Dorgan do?
A. I believe he assessed the cost to IDOT's

[^87]liability because it crossed the right-of-way of 0393.
Q. Is there anything else we should talk about on this exhibit, on this figure?
A. No. I think that covers it.
Q. Okay. I'm going to have you pull out Exhibit 202. Leave those there. We're going to come back to them. Leave them there. Don't close your binders. We'll come back, but I want you to look at Exhibit 202. Do you have that?
A. Is it in one of these things? Oh, yep, sorry. I mistakenly looked at another binder.
Q. This is -- you're on Exhibit 202?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And this was talked about in

Mr. Dorgan's testimony, yes?
A. Yes, I believe it was.
Q. What is this figure?
A. It's a figure that Andrews created, I created, based upon the figure that we were provided by Mr. Dorgan in the first group of hearings, rebuttal of his first report, I think it was, that that was a long time ago.
Q. And this was considered by the Board in
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the first hearing case, is that to the best as you know?
A. Yes. And then we took his figure and then added the red circles or hexagon noting asbestos contamination at those different areas.
Q. And can you locate the waterline on this document?
A. Yes. It's roughly, I don't know, maybe a third of the way from the northwest corner down going east-west by a dash line with a $W$ in it, and then turns to the north at a certain point between B3-40 and B3-31.
Q. And, again, this was part of the first hearing?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Anything else to bring up regarding the City of Waukegan waterline and IDOT allocation that you can think of now?
A. Not in regards to the Waukegan waterline.
Q. Okay. Moving along --

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Ms. O'Laughlin, I know you're in a zone, but we're at 4:33. And I don't want to interrupt you, but I'm hoping to be done by quarter to 5:00 at the latest.

$$
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\text { L.A. Court Reporters, L.L.C. } \\
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MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Right.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: I don't know when a good time to take a break is.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Yeah, we can really stop at any time. You know, we went through these figures with Ms. Brice, so you have sort of an idea of the process, and we can stop anytime. I would suggest maybe we'll do one more figure and stop after this.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Yeah. I
didn't want to mess you up.
MS. O'LAUGHLIN: No, no, no, you're not.
Thank you.
BY MS. O'LAUGHLIN:
Q. However, having said that, we're on the next figure, figure four. Okay. Gobelman figure four.
A. Okay.
Q. Which is document --
A. It's the location --
Q. Wait, wait, let's get the exhibit number referenced.
A. Exhibit 207-16.
Q. Correct. Okay. Thank you. And what is Exhibit 207-16?

[^88]

[^89]Q. Okay. And --
A. -- on the other side of Greenwood Avenue in Site 6.
Q. Okay. And what about the telephone lines in Site $3 ?$
A. Well, there are three of them. They sort of come in as one and then in one area, and then they split into three different areas. One of the telephone lines is roughly -- falls to the southeast outside of right-of-way 0393. And the other two lines sort of continue diagonally to the northeast into -- one goes -- the middle one goes into Site 6. The other one sort of comes out of Site 3 into -and then goes north on the western side of the boundary of Site 6 .
Q. Okay. So what is this -- on your map, on your figure four, what is the diagonal lines?
A. The hatching --
Q. The hatching, what is the --
A. -- of the lines show the telephone
line -- the area -- not the area, but the length of the telephone line that I've assessed that -- that I assessed IDOT's liability begins and ends within Site 3 and Site 6.
\[
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Q. Okay. So this -- this, I'm sorry, hatch?
A. Hatched.
Q. Hatched -- hatched area, that shows the area that you're assessing IDOT liability?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay.
A. That is the area that $I$ define that IDOT's liable for.
Q. Okay. And how did you determine IDOT's liability based on the task bucket that involved -for Site 3, let's do Site 3, that involved the task bucket of all the telephone lines for Site 3 ?
A. Well, I had my CAD person measure out the length of each line as noted on this figure that it is -- that it is in Site 3 and then hatched the area that's assessed with liability and its distance within that Site 3 area.
Q. Okay. So what was -- what was the total linear feet of the three telephone lines that were within Site 3 ?
A. I have to go back into the report.
Q. Okay.
A. Within Site 3, the three AT\&T telephone lines equate to approximately 1,060 linear feet.
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Q. Okay. So that would be the total of these three total lines within Site 3 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then how did you -- then what did you do to determine the liability for the hatched area --
A. So then I -- within the hatched line, I have the distances that those lines cross IDOT's liability. And as I stated earlier, IDOT's liability and what's being assessed begins at boring B3-26, which is the first clean boring to the west of $B 3-25$, which is the boring that is referenced in the Board ruling. So the hatching from that point until it exits Site 3, I have a total length of 199 linear feet.
Q. Okay. And does that include this area -this portion up here as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so that totals 199 feet. Then what did you do?
A. Well, then I basically took the 199 feet that is IDOT's liability and divided it by the total length of the -- the length that's within Site 3 and --

[^90]Q. The 1,060 linear feet?
A. The 1,060 linear feet and came up with a percentage of 18.8 percent of the cost -- total cost for the AT\&T line in Site 3.
Q. Okay. And then you applied that percentage of 18.8 to the task buckets --
A. Within the task bucket or the -- within the total costs that were laid out in the spreadsheets.
Q. Okay. So basically IDOT -- you're saying IDOT is liable for 18.8 percent of the telephone lines on Site 3 based on linear feet?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Is there -- I guess we should do Site 6 too. Sorry this took me longer. So let's do Site 6.

## How did you figure out IDOT's proportion

 for Site 6?A. I sort of utilized the same process for the length that is assessed to IDOT. There is roughly 90 feet that's within IDOT's liability as where it crosses into Site 6. And I took the -- I basically assumed that the -- that IDOT's liability ends at a point halfway between 4S and 5S, as 5S was

[^91]also contaminated, and I came up with a linear distance of 90 feet.
Q. Okay. And then what did you do with coming up -- after coming up with linear distance of 90 feet?
A. Well, based on the information I had, I calculate -- I measured the distance of what was the right-of-way distance of Site 6 to the north and south and came up with a distance of -- total distance of 5,470.
Q. Okay. And so, again, what is that distance, that -- 5,470?
A. 5,470 .
Q. And so what -- again, what does that --
A. That incorporates a length of 2,820 on the north side and 2,650 on the south side.
Q. Okay. So that would be for the entire length of Site 6?
A. Yes, that would be the end points.
Q. And you included both the north side and the south side figure in determining the overall --
A. Yes, because both sides are impacted with the telephone line.
Q. All right. So there's a telephone line
\[
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on the north side, there's a telephone line on the south side?
A. Correct.
Q. And Site 6 extends --
A. Way beyond.
Q. -- way beyond? Okay.

So that's how you came up with the 5,470.
And how did you figure out -- you figured out a proportion, the 90 --
A. The same proportion that $I$ used in -regarding Site 3, I divided the 90 by the five -5,470 and came up with a percentage of 1.6 percent.
Q. And what did you do with the 1.6 percent?
A. I put it back in the task bucket like Mr. Dorgan did with his system. And I -- yeah, I used 1.6 , then put it in to assess that percentage to the cost associated.
Q. Okay. And for the Sites 3 and 6 task bucket, what are those costs?
A. Well, those costs were the costs that -that according to Mr. Dorgan and his report couldn't be sort of split between Site 3 or Site 6 but were costs associated with those sites.
Q. Okay. So how did you treat the costs for

Site 3 and 6, which applied to those sites?
A. I calculated proportions that -- for Site 3, the allocations that were calculated by dividing the portion of the costs attributed to IDOT equally as defined by the Control Board, which was the 24 -- a total -- total of the adding up what IDOT's proportion costs for Site 3 and Site 6, IDOT's liability is $\$ 24,973$.
Q. Okay. And you figured that by figuring out the percentages from Site 3 and from Site 6, from figuring out the percentage overall and applying that percentage to both Sites 3 and 6 overall costs?
A. Yes, I -- once I calculated the Site 6 or Site 3, I assessed the liability -- IDOT's attributed liability for Site 3 and the site's liability for Site 6, and that came up with a total of nine -- $\$ 24,974$ and then $I$ divided it by the total cost associated with the Site 3 and the Site 6, which was $\$ 392,917$ and then that gave me a 6.4 percent that $I$ attributed to the cost associated with the Site 3 and 6 costs.
Q. Okay. Do you recall how Mr. Dorgan attributed the liability (inaudible) AT\&T location

[^92]for Site 3?
A. I believe he assessed it basically if one -- if a line was in the right-of-way, it was -it was 100 percent attributed to the IDOT's responsibility. If a line was outside of the right-of-way, it was not. So, therefore, if -- of the total costs, he assessed basically two-thirds was to IDOT's.
Q. Right. And that was because two of the lines, even though they're much shorter --
A. Right.
Q. -- two of the lines which were in even his expanded -- you know, I'll call it expanded 0393, two of the lines were within 0393 and one line was not?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So he included two of the lines rather than -- and then excluded one line, but you can see the linear feet for the two lines is a much shorter distance, which would be an IDOT liability, than the much longer line, which is clearly outside of it?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Is there anything else we should
point out on the AT\&T location map, figure four?
A. Well, just to follow-up what you were talking about on Site 6, there were two lines, one in the south, one at the north, and Dorgan assessed liability 50 percent based on that one line was in and one line was out.
Q. Okay. So he assessed all of site south of --
A. All costs associated with the lines to the south were assessed to IDOT.
Q. Okay. So half of all Site 6 costs for the AT\&T he assessed to IDOT --
A. Correct.
Q. -- rather than just the portion that -per linear feet that you calculated?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Is there anything else?
A. I don't think so.

MS. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. I think this would be a good time to break.

HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Okay. Any thoughts before we close and continue, Ms. Brice?

MS. BRICE: No. I just wanted to remind everyone that after they finish their case, we do
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have a rebuttal case by Mr. Dorgan.
HEARING OFFICER HALLORAN: Yeah, I heard that, not on record, but thank you. Another great job today. I'll see you all tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. And we'll be here. Thank you so much.
(WHEREUPON, the hearing was
adjourned at 4:49 p.m.)
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